

# West Belconnen

### Sewer and Water Concept Plan Report

**24 June 2014** Project Number: C13080

**Urban Development** 



**Prepared for Riverview** 

Smart Consulting



### **COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE**

All intellectual property rights, including copyright, in designs developed and documents created Brown Consulting ACT Pty Ltd remain the property of this company. Any use made of such design or document without the prior written approval of Brown Consulting ACT Pty Ltd will constitute an infringement of the rights of the company which reserves all legal rights and remedies in respect of any such infringement.

The information, including any intellectual property, contained in this proposal is confidential and proprietary to the Company. It may only be used by the person to whom it is provided for the stated purpose for which it is provided and must not be imparted to any third person without the prior written approval of the Company. The Company reserves all legal rights and remedies in relation to any infringement of its rights in respect of its confidential information.

C

Brown Consulting (ACT) Pty Ltd Level 6 121 Marcus Clarke Street Canberra City, ACT, 2612

Ph: (02) 6211 7100

2013

### DOCUMENT CONTROL

h:\c13000\c13080\documents\sr\sewer & water concept plan report\c13080 masterplan report\_rev2.docx

Issue	Date	Issue Details	Author	Checked	Approved
0	09/05/2014	Draft Report	FL	CPL	тс
1	20/06/2014	Draft Report	FL	CPL	CPL
2	24/06/2014	Draft Report	FL	CPL	CPL



### **Table of Contents**

EXEC	UTIVE	SUMMARY	1
	Sewe	r Concept Plan	1
	Wate	r Concept Plan	6
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	8
	1.1	Overview	8
	1.2	Project Objectives	10
	1.3	Project Background	10
	1.4	West Belconnen Masterplan	11
	1.5	Concept Plan Drawings	
2.	SEWE	ER CONCEPT PLAN	14
	2.1	Background	14
	2.2	Design Standards	14
	2.3	Design Criteria	14
		2.3.1 Dwelling Yield	14
		2.3.2 Equivalent Populations	15
		2.3.3 Grades for Sewers	15
	2.4	Existing Sewer Services	15
	2.5	Sewer Odour	17
	2.6	Sewer Catchment	18
		2.6.1 Catchment Overview	18
		2.6.2 Ginninderra Creek Northern Catchment	18
		2.6.3 Murrumbidgee Southern Catchment	18
	2.7	Proposed Sewerage Infrastructure	18
		2.7.1 Overview	18
		2.7.2 Ginninderra Creek Trunk Sewer	19
		2.7.3 Murrumbidgee River Trunk Sewer	23
	2.8	Cost Estimates	28
	2.9	Sewerage Infrastructure Staging	32
		2.9.1 Ginninderra Creek Catchment	
		Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Open Trench Sewer	34
		2.9.2	
		2.9.3 Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Microtunnel Sewer	
		2.9.4 Ginninderra and Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Packaged Pump Station	
	2.10	Conclusion	
3.	ΡΟΤΑ	BLE WATER CONCEPT PLAN	39
	3.1	Background	

3.2	Design Standards	39
3.3	Existing Water Services	40
3.4	Water Pressure Zones	41
3.5	Proposed Water Supply Infrastructure	42
	3.5.1 Option 1 – Trunk Main + Reservoirs within Development	42
	3.5.2 Option 2 – Trunk Mains Only with PRVs within Development	42
3.6	Water Supply Infrastructure Staging	42
3.7	Conclusion	43

### TABLES

Table 1	Drawing Schedule	13
Table 2	Design Equivalent Population	15
Table 3	Tree Removal for Option G1	
Table 4	Summary of Option G2 Pump Stations	22
Table 5	Tree Removal for Option M1	
Table 6	Tree Removal for Option M2	26
Table 7	Comparison of Cost Estimates for Each Trunk Sewer Option	
Table 8	Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure Cost Estimates for Ginninderra Creek Catchment	33
Table 9	Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure Cost Estimates for Murrumbidgee River Catchment (Open	
Trenchl)		34
Table 10	Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure Cost Estimates for Murrumbidgee River Catchment (Microtu	nnel).
		35
Table 11	Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure Cost Estimates for Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Pump	
Option		37
Table 12	West Belconnen Water Pressure Zones	41

### FIGURES

\

Figure 1	West Belconnen Development Structure Plan Study Area	9
Figure 2	West Belconnen River Corridor Boundary Plan	11
Figure 3	West Belconnen Development Master Plan	12
Figure 4	Existing Sewer Services Within and Near the Study Area	17
Figure 5	Microtunneling Technology / Pipe Jacking (image sourced from www.istt.com)	20
Figure 6	Photos of Deep Shaft for Microtunneling (Photos provided by Pezzimenti)	20
Figure 7	Long Section for G1 Microtunnel Sewer	21
Figure 8	Comparison of Options M1 and M2 south of Node 5W-15	25
Figure 9	Comparison of Options M1, M2, M3, M4 and M5 Downstream of Node 5W-15	
Figure 10	Stage 1 Trunk Sewer Infrastructure for Ginninderra Creek Catchment	33
Figure 11	Stage 1 Trunk Sewer Infrastructure for Murrumbidgee River Catchment	35
Figure 12	Existing Water Services and Water Zones for West Belconnen Development	41
Figure 13	Stage 1 Water Supply Infrastructure for West Belconnen Development	43



### APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	WEST BELCONNEN VISION
APPENDIX B	STRUCTURE PLAN AND LAND BUDGET
APPENDIX C	SEWER SERVICING OPTIONS AND FLOW CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX D	SEWER AND WATER CONCEPT PLAN
APPENDIX E	COST ESTIMATES
APPENDIX F	SERVICE AUTHORITIES ADVICE
APPENDIX G	WILL OSBORNE'S PTWL HABITAT FIELD INSPECTION RESULT

\

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Riverview Projects (ACT) Pty Ltd (Riverview) has engaged Brown Consulting to provide civil engineering advice for the proposed West Belconnen Development including preparation of services concept plans. This report details the sewer and potable water servicing requirements for the development.

The total area of the study area is approximately 1,640 hectare (600ha in NSW and 1040ha in ACT). Approximately 32 percent of the NSW land and 35 percent of the ACT land is expected to be zoned as river corridor for conservation purposes. The balance of the land is anticipated to yield approximately 6,500 dwellings in the ACT and 5,000 dwellings in the NSW. The total population in development is estimated to be 30,000. Development is intended to commence at Stockdill Drive and initially extend westward in stages to the ACT/NSW border. The current ACT Government Land Release program nominates 300 blocks a year to be released from West Belconnen with the ACT component of the project. It is expected it will take approximately 30-40 years to complete at this rate.

A Structure Plan is being prepared by Riverview in accordance with the ACT Planning legislation to facilitate rezoning of the land to residential and inform rezoning of the NSW land, which will occur at the same time as the ACT rezoning. The services concept planning input to the Master Plan by Brown Consulting includes sewer, potable water, stormwater, electricity, gas and communications. This report presents the sewer and potable water services concept planning required to support the West Belconnen development Master Plan and the Structure Plan submission. It also provides preliminary advice on staging and cost opinions for the critical sewer and water infrastructure to suit the proposed development in ACT and NSW lands.

### **Sewer Concept Plan**

A main ridge line runs east-west through the site dividing the development into a northern and southern catchment. The northern catchment falling towards Ginninderra Creek and the southern catchment falls towards the Murrumbidgee River.

ACTEW Water has confirmed that the southern catchment can discharge to the existing overflow structure on the Ginninderra Sewer Tunnel (GST) and the northern catchment can discharge at the existing vortex drop structure on the GST. ACTEW Water have also confirmed that the GST has adequate capacity to service the entire West Belconnen development.

Two options (G1 and G2) have been investigated to service the Ginninderra Creek Catchment and five options (M1 to M5) have been investigated to service the Murrumbidgee River Catchment. These options are significantly different in methodology. Therefore, the associated costs for these options are expected to vary significantly. Options G1 and M2 are expected to be the most expensive options in terms of the initial capital costs due to the extent of microtunneling involved. These options will however have minimal ongoing operation and maintenance costs compared with other options and results in significantly less impact on the existing sensitive environmental habitat.

Details of these options and comparison are summarised in the table below.

Smart Consulting								
Trunk Sewer	Option No.	Total Sewer Length (km)	Capital Costs (\$M) <sup>1</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.2/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>2</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.4/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>3</sup>	NPV for \$0.2/kwh (\$M Year2015)	NPV for \$0.4/kwh (\$M Year2015)	Comments
Ginninderra Creek	G1	6.5	12.7	-	-	\$3.6	\$3.6	<ul> <li>6.2km long gravity sewer including</li> <li>5.2km open trench and 1km microtunnel sewer</li> <li>Removal of 12 poor to high quality trees</li> </ul>
	G2	6.0	8.6	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$3.1	\$3.2	Two pump stations 4.5km long open trench gravity sewer No trees removal
Murrumbidgee River	M1	12.2	10.6	-	-	\$4.8	\$4.8	<ul> <li>12.2km open trench gravity sewer</li> <li>Affects 5.3ha PTWL habitat<sup>4</sup> or 4% of the total PTWL habitat</li> <li>Removal of 16 trees</li> <li>No pump station</li> <li>Less developable area</li> </ul>
	M2	9.1	34.9	-	-	\$18.2	\$18.2	<ul> <li>7.5km open trench and 1.6km microtunne sewer through river corridor</li> <li>Affects 0.05ha BGW habitat or less than 0.01% of the total BGW habitat</li> <li>Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees</li> <li>No pump station</li> </ul>

ſrunk Sewer	Option No.	Total Sewer Length (km)	Capital Costs (\$M) <sup>1</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.2/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>2</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.4/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>3</sup>	NPV for \$0.2/kwh (\$M Year2015)	NPV for \$0.4/kwh (\$M Year2015)	Comments
	M3	10.9	29.5	\$0.5	\$0.9	\$24.5	\$32.1	Largest pump station in ACT 7.5km open trench and 0.6km microtunne sewer through river corridor Pipe works in river corridor Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees
	M4	9.2	26.5	\$0.2	\$0.3	\$17.3	\$19.8	Large Pump Station 7.5km open trench gravity sewer Trenched pipes in river corridor Affects 1.4ha BGW habitat or 1.8% of the total BGW habitat Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees
	M5	11.1	25.4	\$0.2	\$0.3	\$16.3	\$18.6	Large Pump Station Gravity Sewer discharges to Ginninderra Creek catchment Additional trunk sewer main in Ginninderr Creek catchment No pipe works in river corridor Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees

1. Costs in Year 2014 \$

2. Assumed the maintenance costs is 1.5% of the capital costs of the proposed pump station and the operational costs (energy costs) is \$0.20/kwh (ActewAG's ACT standard retail electricity supply schedule of charges from 1 July 2014).

3. Sensitivity analysis for each option if energy costs increases to \$0.4/kwh (200% increase)

4. An 18m wide construction reservation/corridor is proposed for the proposed open trench trunk sewer alignment. The construction reservation includes silt fence, 5m wide access track zone, sewer trench and trench spoil area. Impact on the existing environmental habitat is measured within the construction corridor.



#### **Discussion of Sewer Options**

### Ginninderra Creek Catchment

- The capital costs for Option G1 (microtunnel) is approximately 48% more expensive than Option G2 (pump station).
- The NPV costs over 50 years for Option G1 (microtunnel) is approximately 16% more expensive than Option G2 (pump station).
- » Both Options G1 and G2 have no impact on the existing Golden Sun Moth habitat.
- » Option G1 needs to remove approximately 12 trees, 9 of which are high quality trees. The pipe could either be bored under these trees or alternative construction methodology be adopted to minimise impact on the high quality trees. No tree removal is required for Option G2.

### Murrumbidgee River Catchment

- » Option M1 (trench) has the cheapest capital and NPV costs yet more impact on the existing sensitive habitat within the river corridor. Should this option be adopted, the proposed trunk sewer will affect approximately 5.3 ha of PTWL habitat or 4% of the total PTWL habitat to be protected within the West Belconnen Conservation Corridor.
- Option M2 (microtunnel) has the highest capital costs, which is approximately 2.3 times more than Option M1 and 37% more than the cheapest pump station option M5. Compared with Option M1, M2 has insignificant impact on the existing sensitive environmental habitat.
- » Option M5 is the cheapest pump station option, which is approximately 1.4 times more than the capital costs of Option M1.
- The net present value for Options M2 and M5 is comparable over 50 years. Should the energy costs be increased significantly (i.e. 200% or more), Option M5 will be more expensive than M2.

### Staged Sewer Infrastructure

The development will commence from Stockdill Drive and Parkwood Road and progress towards the border. The tunnelled options and pump station options require a large upfront expenditure to the project if undertaken at the start of the project. The following staged works could be undertaken to allow the first few stages of the development to be developed prior to having to fully construct the main sewer schemes.

#### Ginninderra Creek Catchment

The Staged trunk sewerage infrastructure for the Ginninderra Creek catchment can service approximately 95ha catchment (i.e. Sewer Node 5N-8), which has a PWWF of 83L/s from approximately 2,104 dwellings in 7 years. This will service Stages 2, 3, 4, 5 and 5A of the development. The opinion of cost to construct the following Stage 1 sewer infrastructure is **\$1.1million** GST inclusive:

- » 0.7km long 225mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.62% grade.
- » 1.4km long 300mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.68% grade.
- » 0.44km long 375mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.6% grade.

Smart Consulting

### Murrumbidgee River Catchment

The Staged trunk sewerage infrastructure for the Murrumbidgee River catchment tunnelled sewer option M1 can service approximately 92.8ha catchment (i.e. Sewer Node 5W-16), which has a PWWF of 63L/s from approximately 1,262 dwellings in 4 years. This will service Stages 1, 1A, 3 and 8 of the development. The opinion of cost to construct the following sewerage infrastructure is **\$4.4 million** GST inclusive:

- » 1.1km long 300mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.42% grade;
- » 3.3km long 600mm diameter trunk sewer to be constructed via open trench, including a 18m wide construction corridor;
- » Connection to existing overflow structure at GST; and
- » The propose works will affect 3.5ha of PTWL habitat.

Should Option M2 be adopted to service Stages 1, 1A, 3 and 8 of the development, the opinion of cost to construct the following sewerage infrastructure is **\$7.7 million** GST inclusive:

- » 1.1km long 225mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.4% grade;
- » 1.6km long trenched 375mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.28% grade;
- » 405m long 600mm diameter trunk sewer to be constructed via microtunneling technology, including 3 shafts ranges from 1.3m deep to 17.4m deep for microtunneling the DN600 trunk sewer;
- » 155m long 600 mm diameter trunk sewer to be constructed via open trench and connection to the existing overflow structure on GST; and
- » Connection to the existing 450mm diameter stub (West Macgregor Trunk Sewer) at RL536.8.

The microtunnel sewer option requires high upfront costs but is not abortive works.

Should a pump station option be adopted, a packaged pump station can be installed as a temporary infrastructure to service the 92.8ha catchment, which has a PWWF of 63L/s from approximately 1,262 dwellings in 4 years. The packaged pump station will pump flows to a high point then discharge to Ginninderra Creek Trunk Sewer via gravity, which follows Option M5's trunk sewer alignment. The proposed gravity sewer can then service Stages 2, 3, 4, 5 & 5A and 1, 1A, 3, 8 of the development in Ginninderra Creek and Murrumbidgee River Catchment.

The opinion of cost to construct the following Stage 1 sewerage infrastructure is **\$3.4 million** GST inclusive:

- » A packaged pump station with duty of 62L/s at 31m head;
- » 446kL emergency storage;
- » 0.5km long 375mm diameter rising main;
- » 0.4km long 225mm diameter gravity sewer at minimum grade of 0.38%; and
- » 2.6km long 375mm diameter gravity sewer at minimum grade of 0.48 %.

The temporary pump station would be demolished once the main pump station is constructed and become operational. The rising main and gravity mains could possibly be reused. The costs for the potential abortive works are estimated to be \$1.1 million GST inclusive (mainly on the packaged pump station). However, the



pump station may be reused to service the Ginninderra Creek Catchment subject to further investigation in concept design.

### Conclusion

The open trench options are the cheapest in both capital costs and net present value. However, additional costs for potential remediation of the affected environmental habitat areas should also be considered against the expensive microtunnel options.

The microtunneling sewer options are considered in the design due to the following reasons:

- Compared with open trench gravity sewer options in the Murrumbidgee River catchment, microtunneling technology minimises impact to the existing sensitive environmental habitat and maximise size of the catchment serviced by gravity sewer. A site walk was conducted along the M2 microtunnel alignment on 12 March 2014 with ACTEW Water, Riverview, Will Osborne and David Shorthouse. During the site inspection, the proposed alignment and the shaft locations were deemed in principle to be suitable to minimise impact on the PTWL habitat and worthy of further investigation.
- Whilst the initial capital costs associated with the microtunneling options far exceed the costs of the alternative open trench (M1) and pump station options (G2 and M2 to M5), the ongoing maintenance and operation costs are minimal.
- » Reduced impact on the sensitive environmental habitat areas from both construction and potential sewer overflow from a sewer pump station.
- » Ability to stage construction and delay costly infrastructure capital expenses.
- » No requirements for noise and odour buffers as required for a pump station.

The net present value for the pump station options are comparable to the microtunnel options. However, the potential increase in energy costs will make the pump station options less viable then the gravity sewer options.

The trunk sewer options and staging for both the Ginninderra Creek and Murrumbidgee River catchments require further detailed analysis and consultation with the relevant government agencies and authorities.

### Water Concept Plan

The water supply concept plan is shown on drawings C13080-CP020 to 022.

Preparation of the water concept plan is based on the ACTEW Corporation- Water Supply and Sewerage Standard (AWSSS). Subject to development and finalisation of the development sustainability objectives related to Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) and water recycling, this report and concept plans for water are based on meeting the current AWSSS to provide a based case concept for servicing the site.

ACTEW Water is investigating the Water Supply Strategy and proposed bulk water supply infrastructure for servicing the proposed West Belconnen development. To date, ACTEW Water have not provided conclusive advice nor any written advice on the Water Supply Strategy. The substance of the water concept plan provided are based on the information discussed in a number of meetings with ACTEW Water and EDD.

### Water Pressure Zones

ACTEW Water advised that there are four water pressure zones within the proposed West Belconnen development as shown in the table below.

Water Zone	Lowest Served Area (RL)	Highest Served Area (RL)
Intermediate	600	625
Low	560	600
Extra Low	530	565
Ultra Low	500	530

Majority of the West Belconnen development is located in the 'Low' and 'Extra Low' pressure areas. A small area near Stockdill Drive south of the site is located within the Intermediate Pressure Zone. There are also some low lying areas in the north-western side of the NSW development near the river corridor located within the Ultra Low Pressure Zone.

### Water Supply Infrastructure Options

The total peak hourly demand for the proposed development is approximately 674 L/s based on the current in peak demand rate in AWSSS. ACTEW Water undertook preliminary network analysis and proposed two options to service the development:

### Option 1 - Trunk Main + Reservoirs within Development

- A 3.2km long trunk water main (indicative size 1050mm diameter) running along Drake Brockman Drive, from Higgins Reservoir to the site boundary for servicing the Low Zone areas and filling the Extra Low Zone reservoir (refer drawing C13080 – CP022 in APPENDIX D).
- A 10ML to 15ML reservoir within the site to service the Extra Low Zone. The reservoir can be constructed at ground level at RL585 with 10m head or as an elevated reservoir at RL568 with approximately height of 20m head. Refer to Drawing C13080 CP020 in APPENDIX D for possible tank locations within the development.

Smart Consulting

- A smaller trunk main (indicative size 300mm diameter) from the Intermediate Zone in Hawker or connected to the Stromlo Bulk Supply Main, both of which are located near the Higgins Reservoir, extending to the West Belconnen Intermediate Zone.
- » A 0.5ML reservoir south of the site to service the Intermediate Zone. The reservoir could be constructed at RL648 on a nearby hill.
- » Pressure Reduction Valves (PRVs) to service the Ultra Low Zone.

### Option 2 - Trunk Mains Only with PRVs within Development

- Two 3.2km long trunk water main (indicative size 1050mm diameter each) running along Drake Brockman Drive, from Higgins Reservoir to the site boundary for servicing the Low Zone areas (refer drawing C13080 – CP022 in APPENDIX D).
- » Pressure reduction valves off the low zone water mains within the site to supply extra low zone areas (refer to Drawing C13080 – CP020).
- » Two smaller mains (indicative size 300mm diameter each) off the Stromlo Bulk Main to Intermediate Zone.

ACTEW Water will confirm the trunk water main sizes after completing the water network modelling and strategy for the West Belconnen Development.

### Staged Water Supply Infrastructure

ACTEW Water advised that the initial stages of the development can be serviced by extending the existing 600mm diameter trunk water main from MacNaughton Street to the site boundary. The new DN600 trunk water main is approximately 1.6km long and can service up to 1,000 dwellings within Low Zone.

Advice on increases in infrastructure size due to the NSW development has been requested for ACTEW Water.

### Conclusion

Options 1 and 2 will be subject to more detailed analysis and consultation with the relevant government agencies and service authorities. It is noted that the capital costs associated with Option 2 (PRV) are likely to be significantly less than Option 1 (Reservoir) and less land take is required for Option 2 by not requiring a large reservoir. However, Option 1 may be considered as a more appropriate servicing solution, providing higher water supply security and reliability to the development.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview

Riverview Projects (ACT) Pty Ltd (Riverview) is the project manager for the planning of West Belconnen, acting on behalf of the ACT Government with respect to the ACT land, and on behalf of the landowners within the NSW section. The ACT Land Development Agency (LDA) is the responsible ACT Government's development agency with respect to the ACT lands.

Riverview has engaged Brown Consulting to provide civil engineering advice for the proposed West Belconnen Development including preparation of services concept plans. This report details the concept sewer and potable water servicing requirements for the development.

The project study area is bounded by the Canberra Substation, the West Macgregor development and the Belconnen Magpies Golf Course to the east, Stockdill Drive to the south, Murrumbidgee River to the west, Ginninderra Creek to the north. Refer **Figure 1** for the extent of study area.

The total area of the study area is approximately 1,640 hectare (600ha in NSW and 1040ha in ACT). Approximately 32 percent of the NSW land and 35 percent of the ACT land is expected to be zoned as river corridor for conservation purposes. The balance of the land is anticipated to yield approximately 6,500 dwellings in the ACT and 5,000 dwellings in the NSW. The total population in development is estimated to be 30,000<sup>1</sup>.

Development is intended to commence at Stockdill Drive and initially extend westward. The current ACT Government Land Release program nominates 300 blocks a year to be released from West Belconnen. It is expected it will take approximately 30 to 40 years to complete the development at this rate. It has been agreed that sales in NSW cannot commence until completion of at least 2,000 blocks in the ACT first which is approximately seven years away.

The West Belconnen project has been the vision of Riverview for a number of years now, with major investment in background studies to inform the project having been already undertaken. In 2013, Riverview entered into a Heads of Agreement arrangement with the ACT Government, Riverview acting as the Project Manager for the Territory Plan rezoning and delivery of the ACT development on behalf of the ACT Government.

The project has been identified in previous ACT Government studies as development capable and currently identified as an Urban Investigation Area in the ACT Planning Strategy. A Structure Plan is being prepared by Riverview in accordance with the ACT Planning legislation to facilitate rezoning of the land to residential and inform rezoning of the NSW land which will occur at the same time as the ACT rezoning. The development on the ACT land is being planned together with the proposed NSW development to ensure the NSW land is considered in all planning with servicing infrastructure to be provided from the ACT. A consistent estate design is proposed across the ACT and NSW, hence the concept planning needs to satisfy design requirements of both the ACT Government Agencies as well as Yass Valley Council.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The development parameters, (dwelling numbers, stages etc.) referred to in this report may vary over time. The figures contained herein are estimates; they represent a good approximation of likely development outcomes to a sufficient level of accuracy for the purposes of this report.

Smart Consulting

Riverview has established a Consultant Team to undertake the various inputs to enable the preparation of the developments master plan which is being used to determine dwelling yields and development typologies and to inform engineering concept planning work in support of the Master Plan.

The services concept planning input to the Master Plan by Brown Consulting includes sewer, potable water, non-potable water, stormwater, electricity, gas and communications. AECOM has been engaged by Riverview to prepare the water sensitive urban design strategy for the development which informs much of the stormwater concept planning for the site as well as inputting into the sewer, potable water and non-potable water concept planning by defining recycled water strategy.

This report presents the sewer and water services concept planning required to support the West Belconnen development master plan and the Structure Plan submission. It also provides preliminary advice on staging and cost opinion of the trunk sewer and water infrastructure to suit the proposed development stages in ACT and NSW lands.





### **1.2 Project Objectives**

The Riverview has prepared a statement against which the West Belconnen project will continue to be assessed at all stages of the project including concept planning and preparation of the Structure Plan. This vision is encapsulated in their sustainability vision which is:

### "Creating a sustainable community of international significance in the Nation's capital"

A copy of this Vision Statement is attached in **Appendix A**. The Master Planning phase of the West Belconnen project is a critical phase in which to benchmark the project against industry best practice and set many of the fundamental sustainability outcomes for the development and incorporate these into development assessment requirements against which Development Applications and designs will be assessed.

The vision is to create a community that exemplifies world's best practice in its design, construction and long term liveability. To achieve this, the development is to be assessed using the Green Star Communities Pilot scheme which is a rating tool developed by the Green Building Council of Australia in order to benchmark the development. The aim is to achieve a six-star 'world leader' green community which is driving some of the key outcomes for this development.

The Master Plan phase of the project is also a critical stage of the project in which to gain community support for the development before proceeding to the next stage of the project. As such, community, stakeholder and authority consultation has formed an important part of this approval phase of the project to ensure long term support for the project.

The goal of the Master Plan stage in the project is to achieve rezoning of the ACT Government land to residential land use. Once this has occurred, Riverview will be able to lodge an Estate Development Plan and Development Application for the first stage of residential development. The Master Plan will also facilitate the rezoning process for the NSW land.

### 1.3 Project Background

The following background information and previous reports and studies were provided by Riverview to inform this Services Concept Plan study:

- » West Molonglo Residential Development Existing Services Investigation, Sellick Consultants, February 2009.
- » West Belconnen Proposed Subdivision Options and Costing Report, Sellick Consultants, February 2011.
- » West Belconnen Urban Subdivision Review of Opinion of Cost, Brown Consulting, March 2011.
- » West Belconnen Proposed Subdivision Costing Report, Sellick Consultants, April 2011.
- » West Belconnen Woodland Areas Confirmatory Ecological Assessment, David Hogg Pty Ltd, May 2013
- » Flora and Fauna Assessment, Kevin Mills and Associates, January 2009
- » Landfill Risk Report, GHD, February 2012
- » Extent of Habitat for Vulnerable Pink Worm Tail Lizard in the West Belconnen Ginninderra Creek Investigation Area, Will Osbourne, May 2013

Riverview has been active in undertaking the necessary ecological studies of the site in order to inform the appropriate extent of the Murrumbidgee River Conservation Corridor. This boundary defines the limit of development adjacent the river. The river corridor boundary is shown on **Figure 2**.



Figure 2 West Belconnen River Corridor Boundary Plan

### 1.4 West Belconnen Masterplan

The project team has been working together over the last eight months to develop the West Belconnen Masterplan which is being used to inform the Structure Plan. Ongoing community and stakeholder consultation has occurred during this period with contributions from the team and the community, Government Agencies and Service Authorities becoming contributions into the development of the Masterplan. The West Belconnen Masterplan is presented in **Figure 3** below.

Smart Consulting



Figure 3 West Belconnen Development Master Plan



### 1.5 Concept Plan Drawings

The sewer and water concept plan drawings have been prepared based on the data provided by the Riverview and asset information provided by the Services Authorities.

The following drawings are prepared as part of the services concept planning and included in **APPENDIX D**. The drawings should be referred to whilst reading the report.

### Table 1 Drawing Schedule

Drawing No.	Description
C13080-MP000+	COVER SHEET, LOCALITY PLAN AND DRAWING SCHEDULE
C13080-MP001+	EXISTING SERVICES PLAN
C13080-MP010+	SEWER CONCEPT PLAN – OPTIONS G1 AND M2
C13080-MP011+	GINNINDERRA GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN - SHEET 1 OF 2
C13080-MP012+	GINNINDERRA GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN - SHEET 2
C13080-MP013+	MIRRUMBIDGEE GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN - SHEET 1 OF 2
C13080-MP014+	MIRRUMBIDGEE GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN - SHEET 2
C13080-MP015	SEWER DATA TABLE
C13080-MP016+	SEWER CONCEPT PLAN OVERLAYED WITH STRUCTURE PLAN
C13080-MP020+	WATER CONCEPT PLAN RESERVOIR OPTION SHEET 1 OF 2
C13080-MP021	WATER CONCEPT PLAN RESERVOIR OPTION SHEET 2
C13080-MP022	WATER CONCEPT PLAN PRV OPTION

### 2. SEWER CONCEPT PLAN

### 2.1 Background

The delivery of the sewerage services is a challenging aspect of urban development for the proposed West Belconnen development. Some of the key design constraints for the site include the steep and hilly topography, location and depth of the existing sewer services, sewer odour, presence of sensitive environmental habitat (pink tailed worm lizard and box gum woodland), archaeological constraints and the Murrumbidgee River Corridor.

In order to address the design constraints and meet the land release staging requirements, the sewerage concept planning work reported in this document has considered the following:

- » Identify, test and evaluate a series of options to provide sewerage services for all stages of land release in West Belconnen;
- » Liaise with ACTEW Water to investigate technical solutions that will be supported by the Service Provider;
- Attend design meetings and undertake design optimisation in order to achieve value for money to Riverview and Land Development Agency (LDA). Consideration of capital, operation and maintenance costs in assessing design options.
- » Identify services staging to ensure the delivery of the land release program and management of infrastructure expenditure and delivery; and
- » Prepare preliminary opinions of cost for the purpose of the Riverview and LDA's budgeting for the corresponding upcoming Capital Works program for West Belconnen.

### 2.2 Design Standards

Preparation of the sewer concept plan is based on the ACTEW Corporation- Water Supply and Sewerage Standard (AWSSS). Yass Valley Council has been consulted and supported AWSSS be used for the design of the proposed sewerage infrastructure in NSW. Subject to development and finalisation of the development sustainability objectives related to Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) and water recycling, this report and concept plans for sewer are based on meeting the current AWSSS to provide a base case workable concept for servicing the site.

### 2.3 Design Criteria

### 2.3.1 Dwelling Yield

The Density Plan attached in **APPENDIX B** establishes the proposed land use and density across the development. Supporting information provided by Riverview detailing the indicative dwelling yield and staging for the West Belconnen development is also included in **APPENDIX B**. Calculation of the sewer flows contributing to the proposed and existing trunk sewer is based on the following information (refer to **APPENDIX C**):

- » RIV BEL RD1 008 Final Land Budget Density Map;
- » RIV BEL RD1 012 Final Land Budget Staging Plan; and



» West Belconnen Land Budget and Staging Table 260314.

The gross development area is approximately 846ha. The West Belconnen Land Budget and Staging Table indicates that 55 percent of the gross development area (i.e. 437ha) will be the net developed area, which is used as the net sewered areas in this study. This excludes open spaces, floodways, arterial roads and matches with the AWSSS net sewered area for the purpose of the masterplanning.

The potential dwelling yield is estimated to be up to 11,954. The net density for each land parcel varies from 10 to 100 dwelling per hectare (dw/ha).

### 2.3.2 Equivalent Populations

The equivalent population (EP) contribution to the sewer system is calculated as follows:

Residential catchment EP = No. of dwelling x EP per dwelling unit

Table 2 shows the adopted design EP from the AWSSS Table 3-5 data.

Table 2	Design Equivalent Population	
---------	------------------------------	--

Land Use	Gross Land Yield	AWSSS EP	Unit
Low Density Residential	<15 dw/ha	3.6	per dwelling unit
Medium Density	15-80 dw/ha	2.5	per dwelling unit
High Density	>80 dw/ha	2.0	per dwelling unit
School <sup>1</sup>	-	0.2	per student

1. Assume 500 students per school

### 2.3.3 Grades for Sewers

To minimise operations and maintenance problems and where physically and economically practicable, AWSSS recommend that all gravity sewers shall be designed with grades exceeding the self-cleaning grade (Ssc) and sulphide-slime control grade (Sss) for gravity sewers of nominal diameter 300mm or greater.

Early in the sewer concept design, it was recognised that the site topography is such that gravity mains require a minimum grade close to the minimum self cleasing grade to minimise pumped catchments. ACTEW Water agreed that relaxation of these requirements in trunk mains provided the self-cleaning grade (Ssc) is achieved.

### 2.4 Existing Sewer Services

An existing 2130mm diameter Ginninderra Sewer Tunnel (GST) runs generally north-south through the site (refer to **Figure 4**). The 2130mm diameter GST runs from the north of Macgregor and transverses the Canberra Substation and the south-eastern part of the West Belconnen development prior to discharging to the Lower Molonglo Water Quality Control Centre (LMWQCC) located approximately 1km southwest of the study area.

ACTMAPi shows that there is a 20m wide easement on the GST alignment between the Canberra Substation and the western boundary of the site. Written advice from ACTEW Water notes that standard, low and medium density residential development can take place over the GST, where the cover is in excess of 12m and the geological strata above the GST has not been excavated previously (refer to **APPENDIX F**). Riverview will be



seeking to negotiate with ACTEW Water to extinguish this easement to avoid adverse implication to consequent leases.

An overflow structure and a sewer vent is located on the GST, approximately 215m east from the western boundary of the site. There is a sewer vent located within Block 1607 Belconnen near Strathnairn. Three mechanised odour/scrubbing control units have recently been constructed over manholes adjacent the West Macgregor development.

The exiting invert levels of the GST were obtained from the Work As Executed (WAE) data provided by ACTEW Water. The GST is approximately 8m to invert at the sewer vent to the west of the site, approximately 50m deep at the vent on Block 1607 and approximately 20-30m adjacent West Macgregor. ACTEW Water uses this large pipe as a detention storage facility to regulate flowrates into LMWQCC and as such is regularly maintained full.

ACTEW Water advised that the GST has sufficient capacity to service the entire ACT and NSW development (refer **APPENDIX F**).

There is an existing West Macgregor gravity sewer running through the eastern part of the site. The sewer main size ranges from 225mm diameter near Parkwood Road to 450mm diameter, where it discharges to the existing 1500mm diameter trunk sewer. The 1500mm diameter trunk sewer is joined by an existing 1350mm diameter trunk sewer then discharge to the GST upstream of a 22m deep vortex drop. Local reticulation mains exist within West Macgregor and the golf course development. These is no existing sewer infrastructure within NSW part of the development.

Based on the discussion with ACTEW Water, the western, southern and/or part of the northern sewer catchments can drain to the GST. Part of or the entire northern sewer catchment can drain to the 450mm West Macgregor Trunk Sewer or the vortex drop on the GST.

Smart Consulting



Figure 4 Existing Sewer Services Within and Near the Study Area

### 2.5 Sewer Odour

ACTEW Water are conducting studies of the odour from the LMWQCC sewage treatment plant to confirm whether their current 1km buffer is appropriate or whether a larger buffer zone would be required. The nearest part of the West Belconnen development is about 1.2km east of the LMWQCC. Therefore, any increase in the buffer zone could impact on the West Belconnen developable areas.

ACTEW Water has completed odour monitoring of the existing two sewer vents within the West Belconnen development over the 2013/2014 summer period and prepared a report on the sewer odour impacts to West Belconnen. The outcome of this report is to recommend further detailed study be undertaken. Economic Development Directorate (EDD) will engage a consultant to investigate options and recommend what to do with the two vents within the development site. EDD has advised that for current masterplanning purposes, it should be assumed the vent adjacent to Strathnairn will be capped and will only require access for maintenance vehicles and that a large odour scrubber device will be fitted onto the vent to the south of the development. This advice will need to be confirmed by the subsequent EDD study.



Three existing odour scrubbers are located over the GST to the north of Parkwood Road. The recently completed West Macgregor development is minimum of 100m from these odour scrubbers. ACTEW Water need to confirm the buffer around these odour scrubbers for odour and noise control.

### 2.6 Sewer Catchment

### 2.6.1 Catchment Overview

Sub-catchments contribution to the proposed sewer system were defined based on the ACT 2004 Contours at 1m interval and the NSW Contours at 2m interval provided by the Riverview. A main ridge line runs east-west through the site dividing the development into a northern and southern catchment (refer to **Figure 4**). The northern catchment falling towards Ginninderra Creek and the southern catchment falls towards the Murrumbidgee River.

ACTEW Water has confirmed that the southern catchment can discharge to the existing overflow structure on the GST and the northern catchment can discharge at the existing vortex drop structure.

The catchment has been further subdivided into smaller subcatchments based on natural topography, which consists of undulating natural watercourses across the site.

### 2.6.2 Ginninderra Creek Northern Catchment

The northern catchment (with dwelling yield) is approximately 328ha, 55% of which (i.e. 189ha) is assumed to be the net sewered areas. Based on the West Belconnen Land Budget and Staging Table dated 26 March 2014, a total of 6,318 dwellings and an equivalent population of 16,205 is proposed within this catchment.

Details of the catchment size and sewer flows calculation for the northern catchment are shown on Drawing C13080-010 to 012 and 015 in **APPENDIX D**.

### 2.6.3 Murrumbidgee Southern Catchment

The southern catchment (with dwelling yield) is approximately 413ha, 55% of which (i.e. 232ha) is assumed to be the net sewered areas. Based on the West Belconnen Land Budget and Staging Table dated 26 March 2014, a total of 5,598 dwellings and an equivalent population of 17,366 is proposed within this catchment.

Details of the catchment size and sewer flows calculation for the southern catchment are shown on Drawing C13080-010, 013 to 015 in **APPENDIX D**.

### 2.7 Proposed Sewerage Infrastructure

#### 2.7.1 Overview

The proposed sewer infrastructure, which includes trunk gravity mains and sewer pump stations, has been developed based on the following criteria:

- » Maximisation of gravity sewer catchments;
- » Minimisation of number, location and catchment of pump stations; and
- » Minimisation of impact on the environmental sensitive areas (e.g. Pink Tailed Worm Lizard, Box Gum Woodland and Golden Sun Moth).



The sewage flows from the proposed development were calculated in accordance with AWSSS Section 3.1.1 (iii) c. Mixed landuse catchment formulae based on the EP per dwelling unit as shown in **Table 2**. The proposed sewer infrastructure was sized to achieve the self-cleansing grade.

### 2.7.2 Ginninderra Creek Trunk Sewer

Two options have been investigated to service the Ginninderra Creek catchment.

### Option G1 – Gravity Sewer+Microtunneling

This option aims to service the entire northern catchment via gravity. The gravity sewer catchment will be maximised by using a minimum grade of 0.25% for pipe size 375mm diameter and above. This grade does not achieve slime control, however, ACTEW Water have approved a flatter grade in order to drain the entire site by gravity. Two developable areas within NSW lie below the trunk sewer and will be serviced by two pump stations.

The Ginninderra Creek catchment can be serviced by a 6.2km long gravity main at minimum grades to achieve self-cleansing (refer to sketch plans in **APPENDIX C**). The trunk sewer starts from Lot 5 / DP771051 (Block BS), then follows Ginninderra Creek prior to discharging to the bottom (IL 523.72) of the existing vortex drop structure on GST, which is about 20m deep. The alignment has been designed to avoid impact on the existing Golden Sun Moth (GSM) offset areas adjacent to Ginninderra Creek. ACTEW Water has permitted manholes within the creek flooding areas (above 1 in 2 year ARI event) in order to maximise the gravity sewer catchment areas.

The peak wet weather flow (PWWF) in the proposed Ginninderra Creek Trunk Sewer at discharge to GST is approximately 223.4 L/s (refer to sketch plans in **APPENDIX C**).

The trunk sewer size ranges from 300mm diameter to 525mm diameter. The depth of the trunk sewer ranges from 1m to 23m. Approximately 1km long of the trunk sewer, from Lot 1 / DP771051 to the GST vortex drop structure, needs to be constructed via the microtunneling technology. Microtunnel boring machine will be used to construct sewer with depths exceeding approximately 6m. All other sewer mains upstream are proposed to be trenched.

Microtunneling is a trenchless method to construct pipelines or tunnels up to about 175m under highways, railroads, runways, rivers and environmentally sensitive areas (refer to **Figure 5**). In general, the microtunnel boring machine (MTBM) size varies from 0.61 to 1.5m diameter (0.85m diameter assumed for this project). The machines are controlled by an operator remotely on the ground surface. Microtunneling requires excavation of a vertical shaft of approximately 6m x 4m at each end of the bore (refer to **Figure 6** for examples). MTBM has a circular cutting head and is pushed by hydraulic jacks mounted and aligned in one shaft. Once the tunnel is completed, the MTBM equipment is removed. Pipes are then lowered into the shaft and jacked through the tunnel (refer to **Figure 5**). The pipes are then grouted in place within the tunnel. Laser guided technology allows flat pipe grades to be achieved with high accuracy and long tunnels (about 350m long) to be constructed by boring from each end and meeting in the middle.





Figure 5 Microtunneling Technology / Pipe Jacking (image sourced from <u>www.istt.com</u>)





Brown Consulting consulted with Pezzimenti Tunnelbore, who advised that the maximum microtunnel length they can achieve in hard rock between two shafts is 350m. Based on this advice, a total of three shafts will be required to microtunnel 1km long trunk sewer. The depth of the shaft ranges from 6.8m to 16.3m deep (refer to **Figure 7**). Pezzimenti advised that the microtunnel boring machine could break into the existing vortex drop structure, which will require further investigation to confirm specific details. Approximately 190m long and 2-8m deep filling would be required for the two sections of pipe within Lot 7/DP771051 to maintain minimum pipe cover of 600mm. The filling embankment surface can be stabilised with dry land grass mix.

Smart Consulting



Figure 7 Long Section for G1 Microtunnel Sewer

### Impact on Environmental Habitat

There is no existing PTWL and BGWL habitat adjacent the proposed sewer alignment.

The minimum distance between the proposed microtunnel sewer alignment and the GSM offset areas is 7.8m. Construction of the proposed trunk sewer using microtunneling technology will avoid impact on the existing GSM offset areas.



#### Impact on Trees

Table 2 Tree Demoval for Option C1

A tree assessment was undertaken by Kevin Mills for the West Belconnen development. A total of twelve trees will need to be removed during construction. The tree classification status for each tree that needs to be removed is shown in the table below.

Tree Classification Status	Tree ID	No. of Trees
High Quality	2113 to 2121	9*
Poor	2278, 2274, 2277,	3
Total		12

\*these trees are along the driveway to the Ginninderry Homestead. The pipe size at this location is 375mm diameter. The pipe could either be bored under the trees or alternative construction methodology be adopted to minimise impact on the high quality trees.

### Option G2 - Pump Station + Gravity Sewer

This option aims to provide a gravity sewer main that can be constructed by standard trenching operation without specialist tunnelling works. This requires the new main to be connected into the existing GST at the West Macgregor sewer connection at approximately 8m deep. This main can then extend along Ginninderra Creek for approximately 3km at minimum of 0.2% self-cleansing grade and an extra of 1km further upstream at minimum of 0.26% self-cleansing grade (refer to sketch plans in **APPENDIX C**). This gravity pipe then daylights and cannot be extended further. The gravity trunk sewer is approximately 4.5km long, with size ranges from 375mm to 600mm diameter. The depth of the trunk sewer ranges from 1m to 8m deep.

Two catchments cannot be serviced by gravity into this trunk sewer. Therefore, this option requires two pump stations with peak wet weather flow greater than 10 L/s. Sewage will be pumped to the new gravity trunk sewer.

The proposed pump stations will be located at low points on Lot 61/DP801234. Details of the pump station are summarised in the table below:

G2 Pump Station	NSA (ha)	PWWF (L/s)	Rising Main Size (mm)	Rising Main Length (m)	Pump (kW)	Emergency Storage (kL)
G2.1 (5N-1)	12.8	20.0	150	820	9.0	144
G2.2 (5N-2.1)	38.6	56.2	225	748	22.8	404

#### Table 4 Summary of Option G2 Pump Stations

Sewage from Pump Station G2.2 will be pumped to the new gravity trunk sewer, which starts from Lot 7 / DP771051 then runs along Ginninderra Creek prior to discharging to the GST.



#### Impact on Environmental Habitat

There is no existing PTWL and BGWL habitat adjacent the proposed sewer alignment. The minimum distance between the proposed sewer construction corridor and the GSM offset areas is 12m.

### Impact on Trees

No tree needs to be removed for Option G2.

### 2.7.3 Murrumbidgee River Trunk Sewer

A total of five (5) trunk sewer options were investigated to service the Murrumbidgee River Catchment.

### **Option M1 – Trench Gravity Sewer**

This option aims to service the entire southern catchment via a trenched gravity main. The gravity sewer catchment will be maximised by using a minimum grade of 0.20% for pipe size 450mm diameter and above. This grade does not achieve slim control, however, ACTEW Water have accepted a flatter grade in order to maximise the area that can be drained by gravity sewer. Two catchments in NSW with flows greater than 10L/s will require pump stations. Other smaller areas below the gravity sewer would require small pump stations or not be developed. Refer drawing C13080-CP010+ in **APPENDIX D** for areas that cannot be drained by gravity.

Under this option, the Murrumbidgee River catchment will be serviced by a new 12.2km long trenched gravity main, which starts from Lot 5 / DP771051 in NSW then follows the river corridor boundary prior to discharging to the GST overflow structure at IL 518.7 (invert to obvert connection). The sewer alignment generally follows the natural contours. However, within the development area, some deeper sections of the trunk sewer require microtunneling and boring through ridges to keep works out of the river corridor and avoid impact on the PTWL habitat. The last section of the trunk sewer will run through the existing PTWL habitat within the river corridor. Refer **Figure 9** for location of this alignment.

### Impact on Environmental Habitat

An 18m wide construction reservation/corridor is proposed for the proposed open trench trunk sewer alignment. The construction reservation includes silt fence, 5m wide access track zone, sewer trench and trench spoil area. Similar arrangement was adopted for the construction of the Molonglo Trunk Sewer and Sewer 3 Central projects, which has similar requirements on protection of PTWL and BGW habitat and similar size sewer mains.

The impact area for the sensitive habitat is calculated for the trunk sewer construction corridor, which includes the access track and trench spoil area. The impact area on the PTWL habitat will be approximately 5.3ha or 4% of the total PTWL habitat to be protected within the West Belconnen Conservation Corridor (over 2km long of trunk sewer). Will Osborne inspected the alignment through the PTWL habitat and did not support this option due to its impact on the habitat (refer Will Osborne's letter dated 12 December 2013 in **APPENDIX G**).

### Impact on Heritage

Aboriginal heritage sites are known to occur in the River Conservation Corridor. These are not shown on the drawings or figures due to legislation requirements. Reference should be made to the ACT Heritage Unit and NSW Department of Environment and Heritage at detailed design stages.



The existing heritage sites are outside the proposed 18m wide construction corridor.

### Impact on Trees

A total of sixteen trees will need to be removed during construction. The tree classification status for each tree that needs to be removed is shown in the table below.

Tree Classification Status	Tree ID	No. of Trees		
Medium	834, 833, 652	3		
Poor	1959, 668, 669, 824, 1891, 1892, 1917, 1918, 1919, 1920, 1890, 1915	12		
Dead	3384	1		
Total		16		

Table 5 Tree Removal for Option M1

The peak wet weather flow (PWWF) contributing to the Murrumbidgee River Trunk Sewer is approximately 253.5 L/s. This sewer flow is common to all options investigated. The trunk sewer size ranges from 225mm diameter to 600mm diameter. The minimum depth of the trunk sewer is approximately 1m.

The alignment within the developable area upstream of the Sewer Node 5W-15 is similar for all subsequent options and is shown on Drawing C13080-CP010, 013, 014 in **APPENDIX D**. The following options only discuss alternative ways to transfer sewage from Node 5W-15 to the GST (refer to **Figure 9**).

#### Impact on Development Area

The length of the gravity sewer downstream/south of node 5W-15 is approximately 3.3km for Option M1 compared to 1.6km for Option M2. This has the effect of being approximate 4m higher at the River Conservation Corridor, which corresponds to less developable areas (refer **Figure 8**). This will need to be considered against the development areas identified in the masterplan.





Figure 8 Comparison of Options M1 and M2 south of Node 5W-15

### **Option M2 – Microtunnel (Trenchless) Gravity Sewer**

Compared with Option M1, this option aims to use the microtunneling (trenchless) technology to achieve the following:

- > Construct deep sewer in rock by microtunneling under ridges, which reduce trunk sewer length and increase the gravity sewer catchment. It is noted that the steep undulating nature of this area is suited to microtunneling due to its topography.
- » Reduce surface disruption and thus avoid or minimise construction impact on the existing PTWL habitat within the river corridor.

Under this option, the Murrumbidgee River catchment can be serviced by a new 9.1km long gravity main, which starts from Lot 5 / DP771051 in NSW then runs along the river corridor boundary prior to discharging to the GST overflow structure at IL 518.7 (invert to obvert connection). Refer to **APPENDIX C** and Drawings C13080-010, 013 to 015 in **APPENDIX D** for details of this option.

The trunk sewer size ranges from 225mm diameter to 600mm diameter. The depth of the trunk sewer ranges from 1m to 40m.

Brown Consulting has liaised with Pezzimenti, who advised that the maximum microtunnel length they can achieve in hard rock between two shafts is approximately 350m. This appears to be close to the limit of the current microtunneling technology and is achieved by two 175m long tunnels joining together. Based on this advice, a total of seven shafts will be required to microtunnel the trunk sewer from Node 5W-15 to connection to the GST. The depth of the shaft ranges from 9m to 25m deep. The shafts have been strategically positioned to be minimum of 20m away from the environmentally sensitive PTWL habitat areas. It is assumed that each one of these shafts would become a manhole for operation and maintenance purposes.

### Impact on Environmental Habitat

The existing PTWL habitat is outside the proposed 18m wide construction corridor. One of the microtunnel shaft (approximately 20m<sup>2</sup>) may impact on the existing BGW habitat. The impact area is approximately 0.05ha, which can be reduced during detailed design stage.

### Impact on Heritage

The existing heritage sites are outside the proposed 18m wide construction corridor.

#### Impact on Trees

A total of sixteen trees will need to be removed during construction. The tree classification status for each tree that needs to be removed is shown in the table below.

Tree Classification Status	Tree ID	No. of Trees		
Medium	3452, 3453, 3457, 3458, 1902, 1903,	7		
	1911			
Poor	3393, 3391, 1954, 1955, 1956, 1906,	8		
	1907, 1910			
Dead	1908	1		
Total		16		

#### Table 6 Tree Removal for Option M2



### **Option M3 – Gravity Sewer + Large Pump Station**

This option aims to provide a gravity sewer main through the development and a sewer pump station to minimise construction through the river corridor.

This option differs from Option M2 downstream of Sewer Node 5W-15 (refer Figure 9), requiring the following:

- A new pump station at Node 5W-15 and 2.4km long rising main 128m pump head Sewage from the development will be pumped to a high point near Stockdill Drive (RL 609) via a new 375mm diameter rising main.
- > A 1.0km long gravity sewer The pumped flows will be discharged to a new gravity sewer, which runs along Stockdill Drive and connect to the overflow structure on the GST.

The existing PTWL and BGW habitat is outside the proposed 18m wide construction corridor. A total of sixteen trees will need to be removed during construction.

### **Option M4 - Gravity Sewer + Large Pump Station**

This option differs from Option M3 downstream Sewer Node 5W-15 (refer **Figure 9**) by utilising a 400m long 375mm diameter rising main with 44m pump head and a new 1.4km long 600mm diameter gravity sewer. The gravity sewer will be connected to the existing GST via a new 19m deep drop structure to be constructed over the GST. This option has the benefit of reduced pumping costs from a shorter rising main with less pressure head.

The rising main and trunk sewer alignments downstream of Node 5W-15 have been designed to avoid the heritage sites and impact on the exiting PTWL habitat.

### Impact on Environmental Habitat

The existing PTWL habitat is outside the proposed 18m wide construction corridor. The existing BGW habitat will be affected by the proposed works. The impact area is approximately 1.4ha.

#### Impact on Heritage

The existing heritage sites are outside the proposed 18m wide construction corridor.

#### Impact on Trees

Impact on the existing trees for Option M4 is similar to Option M2. A total of sixteen trees will need to be removed during construction.

### **Option M5 - Gravity Sewer + Large Pump Station**

This option aims to pump the sewer flows to the proposed Ginninderra Creek Trunk Sewer with no construction within the river corridor.

Sewage will be pumped from Node 5W-15 to a high point at RL 578 near Block U via a 1.1km long rising main with 83m pump head into the Ginninderra Creek catchment. From this high point, sewage will be carried by a new 2.3km long 600mm diameter gravity sewer along the 330kV easement to the Ginninderra Creek catchment sewer discharge point.

The existing heritage sites, PTWL and BGW habitat are outside the proposed 18m wide construction corridors. A total of sixteen trees will need to be removed during construction.



Figure 9 Comparison of Options M1, M2, M3, M4 and M5 Downstream of Node 5W-15

### 2.8 Cost Estimates

Brown Consulting's opinion of cost for the proposed sewerage infrastructure was estimated based on similar recent local projects.

The opinion of cost includes:

- » Direct costs, with an adopted 10% preliminaries inclusive of site preparation, mobilisation and demobilisation; materials, construction and installation, commissioning and testing;
- » Contingency of 40% for trunk sewers, including cost risks on site conditions, engineering design issues, accuracy of data, impact from natural events, change of technology or new technology, change of



standard/redesign, community/stakeholders, political issues, environmental and heritage issues, land and property issues; and

» The applicable GST rate (10%).

The opinion of cost excludes:

- » Approvals and licence fee;
- » Concept design, development application, detailed design and documentation;
- » Project management and coordination with other consultants and contactors; and
- » Community Consultation;
- » Supervision or works by Services Authorities (e.g. ACTEW and ActewAGL).

Rates are based on assumed consistent and good ground conditions. Groundwater management has not been include in cost estimates. All rates are subject to review following geotechnical investigation and interpretation and further engineering feasibility investigations.

A net present value analysis has been undertaken for each option based on the following assumptions:

- » Expense or costs over 50 years (Year 2015 2065);
- » Discount rate of 5%; and
- » Replacement of pumps and fittings for the pump stations is scheduled every 25 years, as advised by ACTEW Water.
- » Due to the likelihood of power costs increasing higher than inflation, NPV analysis was also undertaken for a higher energy cost.

A summary of the cost estimate for each trunk sewer option is shown in **Table 7** below. Details of the costs estimates are shown in **APPENDIX E**.

Smart Consulting

Trunk Sewer	Option No.	Total Sewer Length (km)	Capital Costs (\$M) <sup>1</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.2/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>2</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.4/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>3</sup>	NPV for \$0.2/kwh (\$M Year2015)	NPV for \$0.4/kwh (\$M Year2015)	Comments
Ginninderra Creek	G1	6.5	12.7	-	-	\$3.6	\$3.6	<ul> <li>6.2km long gravity sewer including</li> <li>5.2km open trench and 1km microtunnel sewer</li> <li>Removal of 12 poor to high quality trees</li> </ul>
	G2	6.0	8.6	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$3.1	\$3.2	Two pump stations 4.5km long open trench gravity sewer No trees removal
Murrumbidgee River	M1	12.2	10.6	-	-	\$4.8	\$4.8	<ul> <li>12.2km open trench gravity sewer</li> <li>Affects 5.3ha PTWL habitat<sup>4</sup> or 4% of the total PTWL habitat</li> <li>Removal of 16 trees</li> <li>No pump station</li> <li>Less developable area</li> </ul>
	M2	9.1	34.9	-	-	\$18.2	\$18.2	7.5km open trench and 1.6km microtunnel sewer through river corridor Affects 0.05ha BGW habitat or less than 0.01% of the total BGW habitat Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees No pump station

#### Table 7 Comparison of Cost Estimates for Each Trunk Sewer Option
#### Smart Consulting

Trunk Sewer	Option No.	Total Sewer Length (km)	Capital Costs (\$M) <sup>1</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.2/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>2</sup>	O&M Costs \$0.4/kwh (\$M/yr) <sup>3</sup>	NPV for \$0.2/kwh (\$M Year2015)	NPV for \$0.4/kwh (\$M Year2015)	Comments
	M3	10.9	29.5	\$0.5	\$0.9	\$24.5	\$32.1	Largest pump station in ACT 7.5km open trench and 0.6km microtunnel sewer through river corridor Pipe works in river corridor Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees
	M4	9.2	26.5	\$0.2	\$0.3	\$17.3	\$19.8	Large Pump Station 7.5km open trench gravity sewer Trenched pipes in river corridor Affects 1.4ha BGW habitat or 1.8% of the total BGW habitat Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees
	M5	11.1	25.4	\$0.2	\$0.3	\$16.3	\$18.6	Large Pump Station Gravity Sewer discharges to Ginninderra Creek catchment Additional trunk sewer main in Ginninderra Creek catchment No pipe works in river corridor Removal of 16 poor to medium quality trees

1. Costs in Year 2014 \$

2. Assumed the maintenance costs is 1.5% of the capital costs of the proposed pump station and the operational costs (energy costs) is \$0.20/kwh (ActewAG's ACT standard retail electricity supply schedule of charges from 1 July 2014).

3. Sensitivity analysis for each option if energy costs increases to \$0.4/kwh (200% increase)



#### **Discussion of Sewer Options**

#### Ginninderra Creek Catchment

- » The capital costs for Option G1 (microtunnel) is approximately 48% more expensive than Option G2 (pump station).
- The NPV costs over 50 years for Option G1 (microtunnel) is approximately 16% more expensive than Option G2 (pump station).
- » Both Options G1 and G2 have no impact on the existing Golden Sun Moth habitat.
- » Option G1 needs to remove approximately 12 trees, 9 of which are high quality trees. The pipe could either be bored under these trees or alternative construction methodology be adopted to minimise impact on the high quality trees. No tree removal is required for Option G2.

#### Murrumbidgee River Catchment

- » Option M1 (trench) has the cheapest capital and NPV costs yet more impact on the existing sensitive habitat within the river corridor. Should this option be adopted, the proposed trunk sewer will affect approximately 5.3 ha of PTWL habitat or 4% of the total PTWL habitat to be protected within the West Belconnen Conservation Corridor.
- Option M2 (microtunnel) has the highest capital costs, which is approximately 2.3 times more than Option M1 and 37% more than the cheapest pump station option M5. Compared with Option M1, M2 has insignificant impact on the existing sensitive environmental habitat.
- » Option M5 is the cheapest pump station option, which is approximately 1.4 times more than the capital costs of Option M1.
- The net present value for Options M2 and M5 is comparable over 50 years. Should the energy costs be increased significantly (i.e. 50% or more), Option M5 will be more expensive than M2.

## 2.9 Sewerage Infrastructure Staging

The indicative staging of the development is shown in **APPENDIX B**. The development will commence from Stockdill Drive and Parkwood Road and progress towards the border. The microtunnelled options and pump station options require large capital expenditure at the commencement of the project. Schemes to stage the trunk sewer expenditure have been considered and described below.

### 2.9.1 Ginninderra Creek Catchment

The proposed trunk sewerage infrastructure servicing the initial stages of the development in the Ginninderra Creek catchment is shown on **Figure 10**. This will service Stages 2, 3, 4, 5 and 5A of the development. These stages do not need to be serviced by the trunk sewer but require a local sewer pipe proposed through these stages and connect to the GST at a shallower level.

The first stage of the sewerage infrastructure can service approximately 95ha catchment (i.e. Sewer Node 5N-8), which has a PWWF of 83L/s from approximately 2,104 dwellings in 7 years. The proposed first stage sewerage infrastructure includes:

- » 0.7km long 225mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.62% grade.
- » 1.4km long 300mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.68% grade.



- » 0.44km long 375mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.6% grade.
- » Connection to the existing 450mm diameter stub (West Macgregor Trunk Sewer) at RL536.8.



Figure 10 Stage 1 Trunk Sewer Infrastructure for Ginninderra Creek Catchment

The opinion of cost to construct the first stage of the sewerage infrastructure for the Ginninderra Creek catchment is **\$1.1million** GST inclusive as shown in **Table 10**.

Table 8 Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure Cost Estimates for Ginninderra Creek Catchment
--

Item	Unit	Qty	Rate (\$)	Amount (\$ GST Incl.)
Preliminaries			10%	\$70,890.50
Open Trench				
150mm sewer pipe	m	715	\$190.00	\$135,850.00
300mm sewer pipe	m	1365	\$307.00	\$419,055.00
375mm sewer pipe	m	440	\$350.00	\$154,000.00
Subtotal				\$779,795.50
Contingency			40%	\$311,918.20
Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure TOTAL				\$1,091,713.70

#### 2.9.2 Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Open Trench Sewer

The Staged trunk sewerage infrastructure for the Murrumbidgee River catchment tunnelled sewer option M1 can service approximately 92.8ha catchment (i.e. Sewer Node 5W-16), which has a PWWF of 63L/s from approximately 1,262 dwellings in 4 years. This will service Stages 1, 1A, 3 and 8 of the development. The proposed first stage sewerage infrastructure includes:

- » 1.1km long 300mm diameter sewer at minimum of 0.42% grade;
- » 3.3km long 600mm diameter trunk sewer to be constructed via open trench, including a 18m wide construction corridor;
- » Connection to existing overflow structure at GST; and
- » The propose works will affect 3.5ha of PTWL habitat.

The opinion of cost to construct the following sewerage infrastructure is **\$4.4 million** GST inclusive:

Tuble 5 Stage 1 Sewerage initiastractare cost Estimates for Mananbiagee fiver eatenment (open menen)	Table 9	Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure Cos	t Estimates for Murrumbidgee River	Catchment (Open Trenchl)
--	---------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------

ltem	Unit	Qty	Rate (\$)	Amount (\$ GST Incl.)
Preliminaries			10%	\$283,286.40
Open Trench				
300mm sewer pipe	m	1057	\$307.00	\$324,499.00
600mm sewer pipe	m	3253	\$705.00	\$2,293,365.00
Connection to existing overflow structure	No.	1	\$215,000.00	\$215,000.00
Subtotal				\$3,116,150.40
Contingency			40%	\$1,246,460.16
Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure TOTAL				\$4,362,610.56

#### 2.9.3 Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Microtunnel Sewer

The proposed trunk sewerage infrastructure to service the initial stages of the development in the Murrumbidgee River catchment is shown on **Figure 11**. This will service Stages 1, 1A, 3 and 8 of the development.

The Stage 1 trunk sewerage infrastructure can service approximately 92.8ha catchment (i.e. Sewer Node 5W-16), which has a PWWF of 63L/s from approximately 1,262 dwellings in 4 years. The proposed first stage sewerage infrastructure includes:

- » 1.1km long DN225 sewer at minimum of 0.4% grade;
- » 1.6km long trenched DN375 sewer at minimum of 0.28% grade;
- » 405m long DN600 trunk sewer to be constructed via microtunneling technology, including 3 shafts ranges from 1.3m deep to 17.4m deep for microtunneling the DN600 trunk sewer; and

Smart Consulting

» 155m long DN600 trunk sewer to be constructed via open trench and connection to the existing overflow structure on GST.



Figure 11 Stage 1 Trunk Sewer Infrastructure for Murrumbidgee River Catchment

The opinion of cost to construct the Stage 1 sewerage infrastructure for the Murrumbidgee River catchment is **\$7.7 million** GST inclusive as shown in **Table 10**. None of this infrastructure is abortive work.

Item	Unit	Qty	Rate (\$)	Amount (\$ GST Incl.)
Preliminaries			10%	\$500,270.00
Open Trench				
225mm sewer pipe	m	1085	\$295.00	\$320,075.00
375mm sewer pipe	m	1600	\$350.00	\$560,000.00

Smart Consulting

Item	Unit	Qty	Rate (\$)	Amount (\$ GST Incl.)
600mm sewer pipe	m	155	\$705.00	\$109,275.00
Microtunnel				
Shaft well, <3m deep <sup>1</sup>	No.	1	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00
Shaft well, <11m deep <sup>1</sup>	No.	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Shaft well, 15-20m deep <sup>1</sup>	No.	1	\$1,500,000.00	\$1,500,000.00
600mm sewer pipe <sup>2</sup>	m	405	\$550.00	\$222,750.00
Sewer pipe construction <sup>3</sup>	m	405	\$3,520.00	\$1,425,600.00
Connection to existing overflow structure	No.	1	\$215,000.00	\$215,000.00
Subtotal				\$5,502,970.00
Contingency			40%	\$2,201,188.00
Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure TOTAL				\$7,704,158.00

1. Costs of shaft well are estimated based on the quote provided by UEA on 29 November 2013.

2. Cost of Jacking Pipe was provided by Global Pipe on 6 February 2014.

3. Cost of microtunneling was provided by Pezzimenti on 30 January 2014.

### 2.9.4 Ginninderra and Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Packaged Pump Station

A packaged pump station could be installed as temporary infrastructure to service the 92.8ha catchment within the Murrumbidgee River Catchment, which has a PWWF of 63L/s from approximately 1,262 dwellings in 4 years. The packaged pump station will pump flows to a high point then discharge to Ginninderra Creek Trunk Sewer via gravity, which follows Option M5's trunk sewer alignment. The proposed gravity sewer can then service Stages 2, 3, 4, 5 & 5A and 1, 1A, 3, 8 of the development in Ginninderra Creek and Murrumbidgee River Catchment.

The proposed first stage sewerage infrastructure includes:

- » A packaged pump station with duty of 62L/s at 31m head;
- » 446kL emergency storage;
- » 0.5km long 375mm diameter rising main north to the ridge;
- » 0.4km long 225mm diameter gravity sewer at minimum grade of 0.38% north to the GST at the West Macgregor connection; and
- » 2.6km long 375mm diameter gravity sewer at minimum grade of 0.48%.

The temporary pump station would be demolished once the main pump station is constructed and become operational. The rising main and gravity mains could possibly be reused.

Smart Consulting

The opinion of cost to construct the Stage 1 sewerage infrastructure for the Murrumbidgee River catchment is **\$3.4 million** GST inclusive as shown in **Table 11**. This infrastructure will be redundant work and removed when the new large pump station is constructed.

ltem	Unit	Qty	Rate (\$)	Amount (\$ GST Incl.)
Preliminaries			10%	\$219,705.00
Open Trench				
225mm sewer pipe	m	1085	\$295.00	\$320,075.00
375mm sewer pipe	m	2593	\$350.00	\$907,550.00
Pump Station	_			
Pump Station, 62 L/s <sup>1</sup>	No.	1	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00
375mm rising main	m	490	\$350.00	\$171,500.00
Subtotal				\$2,416,755.00
Contingency			40%	\$966,702.00
Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure TOTAL				\$3,383,457.00

Table 11 Stage 1 Sewerage Infrastructure Cost Estimates for Murrumbidgee River Catchment – Pump Option

1. Costs of packaged pump station are provided by QMAX on 20 June 2014. The costs include supply and installation of well, pumps, controls, pipework and emergency storage with interconnecting pipework.

## 2.10 Conclusion

The open trench options are the cheapest in both capital costs and net present value. However, additional costs for potential remediation of the affected environmental habitat areas should also be considered against the expensive microtunnel options.

The microtunneling sewer options are considered in the design due to the following reasons:

- Compared with open trench gravity sewer options in the Murrumbidgee River catchment, microtunneling technology minimises impact to the existing sensitive environmental habitat and maximise size of the catchment serviced by gravity sewer. A site walk was conducted along the M2 microtunnel alignment on 12 March 2014 with ACTEW Water, Riverview, Will Osborne and David Shorthouse. During the site inspection, the proposed alignment and the shaft locations were deemed in principle to be suitable to minimise impact on the PTWL habitat and worthy of further investigation.
- Whilst the initial capital costs associated with the microtunneling options far exceed the costs of the alternative open trench (M1) and pump station options (G2 and M2 to M5), the ongoing maintenance and operation costs are minimal.
- » Reduced impact on the sensitive environmental habitat areas from both construction and potential sewer overflow from a sewer pump station.
- » Ability to stage construction and delay costly infrastructure capital expenses.



Smart Consulting

» No requirements for noise and odour buffers as required for a pump station.

The net present value for the pump station options are comparable to the microtunnel options. However, the potential increase in energy costs will make the pump station options less viable then the gravity sewer options.

The trunk sewer options and staging for both the Ginninderra Creek and Murrumbidgee River catchments require further detailed analysis and consultation with the relevant government agencies and authorities.

# 3. POTABLE WATER CONCEPT PLAN

## 3.1 Background

An Existing Services Investigation Report was prepared by Sellick Consultants on February 2009. The study undertook a preliminary investigation of the proposed water supply infrastructure required to service the proposed West Belconnen development within the ACT land only. ACTEW Water provided the following advice as part of the investigation:

- » The proposed demand from the development is estimated to be 10ML per day.
- » The Higgins reservoir has sufficient capacity to service the development.
- The development needs to be serviced by three pressure zones, low zone, extra low zone and ultra-low zone.
- » Two new reservoirs will be required on site.

The study area in this report includes the development in both ACT (including Parkwood) and NSW land. The scope of work for preparing the Water Concept Plan include the following:

- » Review the previous Existing Services Investigation Report prepared by Sellick Consultants.
- » Liaise with ACTEW Water to update the site servicing advice for the proposed West Belconnen Masterplan including a staged delivery model of the trunk water infrastructure to service the site.
- » Update the water pressure zones based on the latest land budget and Masterplan.
- » Undertake preliminary water network modelling based on the site boundary conditions provided by ACTEW Water.
- » Liaise with ACTEW Water to identify the location of the proposed reservoirs or pressure reduction valves.
- » Liaise with ACTEW Water to identify potential upgrades required on the existing water supply infrastructure.
- » Identify water supply services staging to ensure the delivery of the land release program and management of infrastructure expenditure and delivery; and
- Prepare preliminary opinions of cost for the proposed water supply infrastructure for the purpose of the Riverview and LDA's budgeting for the corresponding upcoming Capital Works program for West Belconnen.

## 3.2 Design Standards

Preparation of the water concept plan is based on the ACTEW Corporation- Water Supply and Sewerage Standard (AWSSS). Subject to development and finalisation of the development sustainability objectives related to Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) and water recycling, this report and concept plans for water are based on meeting the current AWSSS to provide a based case workable concept for servicing the site.

ACTEW Water is investigating the Water Supply Strategy and proposed bulk water supply infrastructure for servicing the proposed West Belconnen development. To date, ACTEW Water have not provided conclusive advice nor any written advice on the Water Supply Strategy. The substance of the water concept plan provided are based on the information discussed in a number of meetings with ACTEW Water and EDD and is provided in this report only to inform potential masterplan consideration.



The design criteria used in preparing the Water Supply Concept Plan are described below:

- Peak hour demand (PHD) = maximum hourly rates times block/site area. The maximum hourly rate for different land use types is obtained from Table 2-1 in AWSSS. For the West Belconnen development, the PHD will range from 1.1 L/s/ha for the town or city centres to 1.9 L/s/ha for the education facilities/school.
- Fire flow demand (FD) based on a minimum flow rate for various fire risk categories specified in Table 2-2 in AWSSS. For the West Belconnen development, the fire category will range from F4 at the group centre (60L/s), F5 for medium and high density residential areas (45L/s) and F6 for low density residential areas (25L/s).

The proposed water reticulation system design is based on providing minimum pressures of 20 metres head (30 metres head at commercial properties) during peak hour and a minimum of 10 metres of head during fire flow conditions, concurrently with peak hour demand. AWSSS requires that the maximum static pressure at any point of the development should be 75m head.

Design for ACT & NSW together with all servicing to come from ACTEW Water network.

## 3.3 Existing Water Services

**Figure 12** and Drawings C13080 – 020 to 022+ shows the existing trunk water mains within and adjacent the study area.

There is an existing Higgins Reservoir is located approximately 3.2km southeast of the site at the intersection of Kingsford Smith Drive and Drake Brockman Drive. ACTEW Water advised that the top water level (TWL) of the reservoir is RL638.6 and that this reservoir has capacity to service the entire West Belconnen development. The reservoir has a 900mm diameter trunk water main outlet, which runs along Kingsford Smith Drive, east of the site and a 600mm diameter water main that runs west along Drake Brockman Drive.

There is an existing water main located within the verges of Parkwood Road. The water main is:

- » 100mm diameter east of Block 1586 Belconnen, servicing the West Belconnen Resource Management Centre within the study area.
- » 150mm diameter north of the Canberra Substation. Part of the water main is located within the study area.
- 225mm diameter between Britten-Jones Drive and Kingsford Smith Drive. The water main connects to a 900mm diameter trunk water main in Kingsford Smith Drive.

There is an existing water main located within the verges of Stockdill Drive and Drake Brockman Drive. The water main is:

- 225mm diameter west of Trickett Street. The main provides a service tie to Block 1420 south of the site and provides potable water supply to LMWQCC. A 100mm diameter reticulation main, which connects to this main, runs along Studio Road and provides water services to Block 1332 Belconnen (Strathnairn) within the site.
- » 375mm diameter from Trickett Street to Macnaughton Street.
- » 600mm diameter from Macnaughton Street to Kingsford Smith Drive. The water main connects to the 900mm diameter trunk water main in Kingsford Smith Drive.

Smart Consulting



Figure 12 Existing Water Services and Water Zones for West Belconnen Development

## 3.4 Water Pressure Zones

ACTEW Water advised that there are four water pressure zones within the proposed West Belconnen development as shown in **Figure 12**, which match to the existing zones in adjacent Belconnen suburbs. Details of each water zone are shown in **Table 12**.

Water Zone	Lowest Served Area (RL)	Highest Served Area (RL)
Intermediate	600	625
Low	560	600
Extra Low	530	565
Ultra Low	500	530

Table 12 West Belconnen Water Pressure Zones

Majority of the West Belconnen development is located in the 'Low' and 'Extra Low' pressure areas. A small area near Stockdill Drive south of the site is located within the Intermediate Pressure Zone. There are also some low lying areas in the north-western side of the NSW development near the river corridor located within the Ultra Low Pressure Zone.

## 3.5 Proposed Water Supply Infrastructure

The total peak hourly demand for the proposed development is approximately 674 L/s based on the current in peak demand rate in AWSSS. ACTEW Water undertook preliminary network analysis and proposed two options to service the development.

## 3.5.1 Option 1 – Trunk Main + Reservoirs within Development

This option includes the following infrastructure:

- A 3.2km long trunk water main (indicative size 1050mm diameter) running along Drake Brockman Drive, from Higgins Reservoir to the site boundary for servicing the Low Zone areas and filling the Extra Low Zone reservoir (refer drawing C13080 – CP022 in APPENDIX D).
- A 10ML to 15ML reservoir within the site to service the Extra Low Zone. The reservoir can be constructed at ground level at RL585 with 10m head or as an elevated reservoir at RL568 with approximately height of 20m head. Refer to Drawing C13080 CP020 in APPENDIX D for possible tank locations within the development.
- A smaller trunk main (indicative size 300mm diameter) from the Intermediate Zone in Hawker or connected to the Stromlo Bulk Supply Main, both of which are located near the Higgins Reservoir, extending to the West Belconnen Intermediate Zone.
- » A 0.5ML reservoir south of the site to service the Intermediate Zone. The reservoir could be constructed at RL648 on a nearby hill.
- » Pressure Reduction Valves (PRVs) to service the Ultra Low Zone.

ACTEW Water will confirm the trunk water main sizes and reservoir sizes and locations after completing the water network modelling and strategy for the West Belconnen Development.

### 3.5.2 Option 2 – Trunk Mains Only with PRVs within Development

Compared with Option 1, this option aims to service the extra low zone using Pressure Reduction Valves off the low zone mains within the site, with no new reservoirs. This option includes the following infrastructure:

- Two 3.2km long trunk water main (indicative size 1050mm diameter each) running along Drake Brockman Drive, from Higgins Reservoir to the site boundary for servicing the Low Zone areas (refer drawing C13080 – CP022 in APPENDIX D).
- » Pressure reduction values off the low zone water mains within the site to supply extra low zone areas (refer to Drawing C13080 – CP020).
- » Two smaller mains (indicative size 300mm diameter each) off the Stromlo Bulk Main to Intermediate Zone.

ACTEW Water will confirm the trunk water main sizes after completing the water network modelling and strategy for the West Belconnen Development.

## 3.6 Water Supply Infrastructure Staging

ACTEW Water advised that the initial stages of the development can be serviced by extending the existing 600mm diameter trunk water main from Macnaughton Street to the site boundary as shown in **Figure 13**. The new DN600 trunk water main is approximately 1.6km long and can service up to 1,000 dwellings within Low Zone.

Smart Consulting



Figure 13 Stage 1 Water Supply Infrastructure for West Belconnen Development

## 3.7 Conclusion

Options 1 and 2 will be subject to more detailed analysis and consultation with the relevant government agencies and service authorities. It is noted that the capital costs associated with Option 2 (PRV) are likely to be significantly less than Option 1 (Reservoir) and less land take is required for Option 2 by not requiring a large reservoir. However, Option 1 may be considered as a more appropriate servicing solution, providing higher water supply security and reliability to the development.



# Appendices





Smart Consulting

APPENDIX A WEST BELCONNEN VISION

Sewer and Water Concept Plan Report – West Belconnen | Riverview

#### Smart Consulting



# The Belconnen Project Sustainability Vision

"Creating a sustainable community of international significance in the Nation's capital."

The Riverview Group, working with the ACT and NSW Governments, will develop the site at Belconnen to achieve a vision of inspiring sustainable living, development practice and awareness. Achieving a high quality of life for the people living at Belconnen is at the heart of our project planning and design.

We will create a community that exemplifies World's Best Practice in its design, construction and long-term liveability. As a model of sustainable community living it will be a place and community that can be showcased throughout Australia and internationally.



#### Project objectives:

To achieve our Vision we will challenge conventional industry thinking. We will employ practices, processes and systems that embody innovation and design excellence.

This project has been conceived and will be delivered on a fully integrated and audited triple bottom line basis.

Our project will:

- » Be sustainable over time, socially, economically and ecologically (with a low and reducing ecological footprint)
- » Respond to the local and global environment
- Provide for future beneficial change to occur in design, infrastructure and regulatory mechanisms
- » Be cost effective, replicable and measurable
- Act as a new model that others can follow.





## **Guiding Principles for Sustainable Results**

The principles below will direct decision-making by all project management, sub-consultants and referral agencies in the delivery and development of the Belconnen site. They reflect national priorities and Federal, State and Territory Government policies on housing affordability, climate change and environmental protection.

#### PARTNERING PRINCIPLES

- Ptnr 1.Partnering is essential to this project and the scale<br/>and timeframe will allow for positive partnerships to<br/>grow and thrivePtnr 2.Partnering with public agencies is a cornerstone of
- our approach Ptnr 3. Engaging the community in design and governance is fundamental to the delivery of the project.
- Ptnr 4. Designing the project for community ownership and ultimate community control
- Ptnr 5. Supporting community housing through public and private partnering arrangements
- Ptnr 6. Collaborating with research and educational institutions to drive innovation.

#### **EVALUATION PRINCIPLES**

- Eva 1. Identifying and delivering realistic and costed initiatives
- Eva 2. Providing independent peer review of project proposals and project outcomes
- Eva 3. Using recognised international and national benchmarks for sustainability performance to publicly report and raise awareness of project outcomes
- Eva 4. Empowering resident and community monitoring and management of sustainability performance
- Eva 5. Encouraging a culture of continuous improvement.

#### **ECOLOGICAL PRINCIPLES**

- Eco 1. Acknowledging the intrinsic value of all species and the special role and regional significance of the Murrumbidgee river corridor and Gininnderra Creek
- Eco 2. Respecting and supporting the ecosystem functions of air, soil and water, recognising the importance of living and non-living environmental resources
- Eco 3. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions through innovative products and place design, material selection and service provision
- Eco 4. Recognising our natural ecological limits and minimising our resource, water and energy consumption
- Eco 5. Using existing local infrastructure to deliver efficient renewable services and reusable resources
- Eco 6. Enhancing local opportunities for food production and production of materials
- Eco 7. Fostering a deep sense of respect for and connection to the land, flora and fauna.

#### SOCIAL AND CULTURAL PRINCIPLES

- Soc 1. Respecting and honouring Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal cultural, historical and spiritual values, including integrating with the existing rich, social fabric of Belconnen
- Soc 2. Designing for social equity, affordability, diversity and interdependence, honouring differences and catering for the needs of individuals through all stages of life
- Soc 3. Maximising health, safety and comfort of the built environment to provide enduring quality of life
- Soc 4. Instilling awareness and supporting education of sustainability values, technology and lifestyles
- Soc 5. Using creative and robust design solutions to create a continuing sense of place and beauty that inspires, affirms and ennobles
- Soc 6. Designing neighbourhoods that support and encourage community interactions through imaginative, functional and enjoyable public spaces

#### ECONOMIC PRINCIPLES

- Econ 1. Delivering a financial return to the ACT Government recognising their sovereign interest in the land
- Econ 2. Recognising the opportunities provided by the project's scale and low capital base to achieve high-level sustainability outcomes while delivering profitability to joint venture partners
- Econ 3. Building on existing local infrastructure
- Econ 4. Ensuring long-term economic viability through design excellence and community building
- Econ 5. Minimising obsolescence through design of enduring component life cycle, allowing for disassembly and change
- Econ 6. Integrating with the Belconnen commercial, retail and employment networks
- Econ 7. Growing a formal and informal green economy that fosters local jobs and builds regional learning around green innovation and technology



Smart Consulting

# APPENDIX B STRUCTURE PLAN AND LAND BUDGET

Sewer and Water Concept Plan Report – West Belconnen | Riverview



DISCLAIMER: ISSUED FOR DESIGN INTENT ONLY. ALL AREAS AND DIMENSIONS ARE SUBJECT TO DETAIL DESIGN AND SURVEY



West Belconnen

DRAW NO. REV. RDI 012 A REF NO. **RIV BEL** 

DISCLAIMER: ISSUED FOR DESIGN INTENT ONLY. ALL AREAS AND DIMENSIONS ARE SUBJECT TO DETAIL DESIGN AND SURVEY

CANBERRA FIRST

SIZE A1

1:10,000 <sup>0</sup>metres

A STAGING PLAN REV DESCRIPTION

140324 ZQ AK YYMMDD DRAWN APPR'D

#### WEST BELCONNEN STRUCTURE PLAN

## LAND BUDGET\_250314

PRIMARY LAND USE	STAGE	DEV PARCEL	GROSS AREA	STREETS (34%)	OPEN SPACE (11%)*	NET AREA	NET DENSITY (DW/ HA) HIGH	POTENTIAL YIELD HIGH	AVERAGE LOT SIZE	YIELD BY STAGE	NET DENSITY (DW/ HA) BAU	POTENTIAL YIELD BAU	AVERAGE LOT SIZE	YIELD BY STAGE BAU
Residential	1	A	11.30	3.84	1.243	6.215	45.0	279.68	222		33.5	208	299	1
Residential		F	6.20	2.11	0.68	3.41	20.0	68.20	500	347.88	20.0	68	500	276
Residential- Woodhaven	1A	С	14.70	5.00	1.62	8.085	34.5	278.93	290		34.5	279	290	
Residential- Woodhaven		D	2.70	0.92	0.30	1.485	34.5	51.23	290		34.5	51	290	
Open Space/ Clubhouse- Woodhaven		E	1.40	0.00	0.15	0	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Fairways- Woodhaven		G	3.30	1.12	0.36	0	0.0	0.00		330.17	0.0	0		330
School	2	В	7.30	2.48	0.803	4.015	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Residential		R	12.20	4.15	1.34	6.71	45.0	301.95	222		35.0	235	286	
Residential		V	6.20	2.11	0.68	3.41	25.0	85.25	400	387.20	20.0	68	500	303
Residential	3	S	9.00	3.06	0.99	4.95	60.0	297.00	167			149	333	
Residential		т	18.40	6.26	2.02	10.12	27.5	278.30	364		25.0	253	400	
Residential		U	18.00	6.12	1.98	9.9	45.0	445.50	222	1020.80	30.0	297	333	699
Retail	4	1	5.98	2.03	0.66	3.289	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
retail		К	1.63	0.55	0.18	0.8965	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Residential		Х	1.27	0.43	0.14	0.6985	45.0	31.43	222			31	222	
Mixed Use			5.90	2.01	0.65	3.245	80.0	259.60	125	291.03	80.0	260	125	291
Residential	5	н	26.90	9.15	2.96	14.80	35.0	517.83	286		28.5	422	351	
Creekline		CN	1.39	0.00	0.15	1.24	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Creekline		DC	12.5	0	1.375	11.125	0.0	0			0.0	0		
School - NOT SCHOOL OPEN SPACE		L	8.70	0.00	8.70	0	0.0	0.00		517.83	0.0	0		422
School	5A	DD	6.27	0.00	0.69	5.5803	0.0	0.00		0.00				0
Residential	6	W	22.80	7.75	2.51	12.54	45.0	564.30	222	564.30		502	250	502
Residential	7	Y	13.40	4.56		7.37	25.0	184.25	400			147	500	
School		Z	3.09	0.00	0.34	0	0.0	0.00		184.25	0.0	0		147
Residential	8	AA	24.18	8.22	2.66	13.299	20.0	265.98	500	265.98	20.0	266	500	266
Residential	9	AB	37.50	12.75	4.13	20.625	25.0	515.63	400		22.5	464	444	
Residential		CM	5.12	1.74	0.56	2.816	13.0	36.61	769	552.23	13.0	37	769	501
Residential	10	AC	18.20	6.19	2.00	10.01	15.5	155.16	645		14.0	140	714	
Residential		CL	3.35	1.14	0.37	1.8425	15.5	28.56	645	183.71	14.0	26	714	166
Mixed Use	11	AD	4.80	1.63	0.53	2.64	100.0	264.00	100		100.0	264	100	
Mixe Use		AE	1.70	0.58	0.19	0.935	100.0	93.50	100	357.50	100.0	94	100	358
Residential	12	AG	14.30	4.86	1.57	7.865	25.0	196.63	400		22.5	177	444	
Residential		AH	10.40	3.54	1.14	5.72	20.0	114.40	500		15.5	89	645	
Residential		СО	1.80	0.61	0.20	0.99	20.0	19.80	500	330.83	10.0	10	1000	276
Belconnen Farmhouse	13	AI	5.66	0.00	0.62	5.0374	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Residential		AJ	6.00	2.04	0.66	3.3	20.0	66.00	500		13.0	43	769	
Residential		AK	1.83	0.62	0.20	1.0065	20.0	20.13	500		20.0	20	500	
Regional Open Space- Landfill		AL	9.40	0.00	1.03	8.366	0.0	0.00		86.13	0.0	0		63
Residential	14	AM	13.90	4.73	1.53	7.645	13.0	99.39	769		13.0	99	769	
Residential		СР	0.41	0.14	0.05	0.2255	13.0	2.93	769		13.0	3	769	
Residential		AN	0.22	0.07	0.02	0.121	13.0	1.57	769		13.0	2	769	
Residential		AO	6.00	2.04	0.66	3.3	25.0	82.50	400		20.0	66	500	
Residential		AP	0.55	0.19	0.06	0.3025	30.0	9.08	333	195.46	30.0	9	333	179
Residential	15	AQ	2.54	0.86	0.28	1.397	25.0	34.93	400		20.0	28	500	
Residential		AR	0.37	0.13	0.04	0.2035	13.0	2.65	769		13.0	3	769	
Residential		AS	1.40	0.48	0.15	0.77	40.0	30.80	250		32.5	25	308	
Residential		AT	9.40	3.20	1.03	5.17	40.0	206.80	250	275.17	32.5	168	308	224
Residential	16	AW	10.80	3.67	1.19	5.94	45.0		222			238	250	
School		AZ	7.40	0.00	0.81	6.586	0.0	0.00		267.30	0.0	0		238
Residential	17-1	BC	10.7	3.64	1.177	5.885	30.0	176.55	333			147	400	
Residential	17-2	AY	12.10	4.11	1.33	6.655	45.0	299.48	222	476.03	40.0	266	250	413
Residential	18-1	BA	18.6	6.32	2.046	10.23	16.5	168.80	606			153	667	
Residential		CQ	1.48	0.50	0.1628	0.814	13	10.58	769		13.0	11	769	
Residential		BB	7.20	2.45	0.79	3.96	13.0	51.48	769		13.0	51	769	
Residential		CR	1.2	0.41	0.132	0.66	13.0	8.58	769		10.0	7	1000	
Residential	18-2	AX	18.60	6.32	2.05	10.23	45.0	460.35	222	699.79	40.0	409	250	631
Residential	19	AU	22.30	7.58	2.45	12.265	35.0	429.28	286		30.0	368	333	
Residential		AV	6.30	2.14	0.69	3.465	35.0	121.28	286		30.0	104	333	
Residential		BD	4.02	1.37	0.4422	2.211	25.0	55.28	400	605.83	20.0	44	500	516
Residential	20	BG	15	5.10	1.65	8.25	32.5	268.13	308			248	333	
		CZ	13.72	4.66	1.5092	7.546	32.5	245.25	308		30.0	226	333	
Residential														
Residential Residential				1.26	0.407	2.035	30.0	61.05	333		25.0	51	400	
Residential Residential School		BI BH	3.7 4.85	1.26 0	0.407 0.5335	2.035 4.3165	30.0 0.0	61.05 0.00	333	574.42	25.0 0.0	51 0	400	525

#### WEST BELCONNEN STRUCTURE PLAN

LAND BUDGET\_250314

	STAGE	DEV PARCEL	GROSS AREA	STREETS (34%)	OPEN SPACE (11%)*	NET AREA	NET DENSITY (DW/ HA) HIGH	POTENTIAL YIELD HIGH	AVERAGE LOT SIZ	E YIELD BY STAGE	NET DENSITY (DW/ HA) BAU	POTENTIAL YIELD BAU	AVERAGE LOT SIZE	YIELD BY STAGE BAU
Residential		BF	3.07	1.04	0.3377	1.6885	25.0	42.21	400	163.21	20.0	34	500	131
Residential	22	BJ	19.8	6.73	2.178	10.89	30.0	326.70	333	326.70	25.0	272	400	272
Residential	23	BN	8.5	2.89	0.935	4.675	25.0	116.88	400		25.0	117	400	
Residential		BL	6.24	2.12	0.6864	3.432	10.0	34.32	1000		10.0	34	1000	
Residential		BP	5	1.70	0.55	2.75	27.5	75.63	364	226.82	20.0	55	500	206
Residential	24	BK	10.6	3.60	1.166	5.83	13.0	75.79	769		13.0	76	769	
Residential		BM	2.37	0.81	0.2607	1.3035	13.0	16.95	769		13.0	17	769	
Residential		BQ	3.5	1.19	0.385	1.925	15	28.88	667		13.0	25	769	
Residential		CU	0.31	0.11	0.0341	0.1705	10.0	1.71	1000		10.0	2	1000	
Residential		СТ	3.29	1.12	0.3619	1.8095	10.0	18.10	1000	141.41	10.0	18	1000	138
Residential	25	BO	16.00	5.44	1.76	8.8	27.5	242.00	364		20.0	176	500	
Residential		СХ	6.10	2.07	0.671	3.355	27.5	92.26	364	334.26	20.0	67	500	243
Residential	26	BR	3.5	1.19	0.385	1.925	15	28.88	667		13.0	25	769	
Residential		BS	5.2	1.77	0.572	2.86	15	42.90	667		13.0	37	769	
Residential		BU	11.2	3.81	1.232	6.16	20.0	123.20	500		20.0	123	500	
Residential		CW	2.26	0.77	0.2486	1.243	15	18.65	667		13.0	16	769	
Residential		CV	3.34	1.14	0.3674	1.837	13.0	23.88	769		10.0	18	1000	
Residential		ВТ	1.46	0.50	0.1606	0.803	15	12.05	667	249.55	13.0	10	769	230
Residential- Parkwood Buffer	27	BV	16.4	5.58	1.804	9.02	45.0	405.90	222	405.90	32.5	293	308	293
Mixed Use- Parkwood Buffer	28	BZ	8.9	3.03	0.979	4.895	80.0	391.60	125		60.0	294	167	
Mixed Use- Parkwood Buffer		СВ	8.3	2.82	0.913	4.565	60.0	273.90	167	665.50	60.0	274	167	568
Residential- Parkwood Buffer	29	BW	33.7	11.46	3.707	18.535	33.5	620.92	299		30.0	556	333	
Residential- Parkwood Buffer		BX	6.9	2.35	0.759	3.795	33.5	127.13	299		30.0	114	333	
Flood Setback		BY	11.9	0.00	1.309	0	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Residential- Parkwood Buffer		СС	9.7	3.30	1.067	5.335	33.5	178.72	299	926.78	30.0	160	333	830
-lood Setback Parkwood		CY	0.7	0.00	0.077	0.623	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Flood Setback Parkwood		DA	1.4	0.00	0.154	1.246	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
-lood Setback Parkwood		DB	0.47	0.00	0.0517	0.4183	0.0	0.00			0.0	0		
Regional Open Space- Landfill		CE	36.2	12.308	3.982	0	0.0	0.00				0		
Regional Open Space- Landfill		CF	17.9	6.086	1.969	0	0.0	0.00				0		
Peninsula		CG	6.3	2.14	0.693	3.465	0.0	0.00				0		
Peninsula		СН	2.03	0.69	0.2233	1.1165	0.0	0.00				0		
Peninsula		CI	3.4	1.16	0.374	1.87	0.0	0.00				0		
Desites to the		CJ	2.1	0.71	0.231	1.155	0.0	0.00				0		
Peninsula		СК	1.7	0.58	0.187	0.935	0.0	0.00		0.00		0		0



Smart Consulting

# APPENDIX C SEWER SERVICING OPTIONS AND FLOW CALCULATIONS









CUMULATIVE SUBCATCHMENT DATA - (					ATA - GRA	A - GRAVITY SEWER OPTION G1 & M2				
SEWER NODE	CUMULATIVE NET	CUMULATIVE EQUIVALENT	$ADWF_{T}$	<b>PDWF</b> <sub>T</sub>	PII	PWWF	Qdmp	PIPE SIZE	MIN GRADE	
	SEWERED AREA	POPULATION TOTAL	-	_			-	IMMEDIATELY	IMMEDIATELY	
								UPSTREAM	UPSTREAM	
	(ha)	(EP)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	
<b>GINNIDERRA CREEK</b>										
5N-1	12.84	840	2.0		11.3			300	0.36%	
5N-2	43.5	2,955	8.8	26.1	29.2	57.6	19.6	375	0.25%	
5N-3	63.0	5,195	16.6	44.2	42.5	89.0	33.2	450	0.25%	
5N-4	92.0	6,863	22.3	57.1	53.3	112.7	42.8	525	0.25%	
5N-5	120.4	9,993	33.2	80.4	67.0	149.7	60.3	525	0.25%	
5N-6	130.5	10,843	36.2	86.6	71.7	160.6	64.9	525	0.25%	
5N-8	58.3	5,362	18.6	46.0	37.2	83.2	34.5	300	0.75%	
5N-9	188.9	16,205	54.8	124.5	96.6	223.4	93.4	525	0.60%	
MURRUMBIDGEE CA										
5W-1	16.1	1,042	2.3		13.6			225	0.38%	
5W-2	30.3	1,665	3.0	13.0	22.6	39.7	9.8	300	0.30%	
5W-3	31.8	,	3.1	13.7	23.6		10.3	300	0.30%	
5W-4	67.8	,	13.4	39.4	43.5	87.3	29.6	450	0.20%	
5W-5	71.8	,	13.7	40.7	45.6		30.6	450	0.20%	
5W-6	86.7	5,852	16.7	47.8	53.1	105.9	35.8	450	0.20%	
5W-7	87.5	5,888	16.8	48.0	53.5	106.6	36.0	450	0.20%	
5W-8	103.7	7,173	21.2	58.0	61.4	124.5	43.5	525	0.20%	
5W-9	136.5	10,140	31.5	80.3	76.1	161.5	60.2	525	0.20%	
5W-10	137.6		31.6	80.7	76.6	162.7	60.6	525	0.20%	
5W-11	139.8	10,381	32.2	81.9	77.6	164.9	61.4	525	0.20%	
5W-12	158.2	11,937	37.3	93.1	85.9	184.7	69.8	525	0.20%	
5W-14	13.3	1,103	3.8	11.1	11.6	22.7	8.3	225	0.38%	
5W-15	171.5	13,040	41.1	101.0	91.7	198.5	75.8	525	0.20%	
5W-16	50.0	3,720	12.9	33.1	32.4	65.5	24.8	375	0.22%	
5W-17	10.8	606	2.1	6.5	9.8	16.3	4.8	150	9.50%	
5W-18	232.3	17,366	56.1	131.5	116.3	253.5	98.6	600	0.26%	



CUMULATIVE SUBCATCHMENT DATA - OPTION G2 & M3									
SEWER NODE	CUMULATIVE NET	CUMULATIVE EQUIVALENT	ADWF <sub>T</sub>	PDWF <sub>T</sub>	PII	PWWF	Qdmp	PIPE SIZE	MIN GRADE
	SEWERED AREA	POPULATION TOTAL					-	IMMEDIATELY	IMMEDIATELY
								UPSTREAM	UPSTREAM
	(ha)	(EP)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)
NORTHERN CATCHMENT									
5N-1	12.84	840	1.0	5.8	11.3	20.0	4.3	225	0.46%
5N-2	43.5	2955	3.4	17.9	29.2	56.2	13.5	375	0.26%
5N-3	63.0	5195	10.9	38.6	42.5	90.4	28.9	450	0.20%
5N-4	92.0	6863	16.7	52.3	53.3	114.8	39.2	525	0.20%
5N-5	120.4	9993	27.6	76.5	67.0	152.7	57.3	525	0.20%
5N-6	130.5	10843	30.6	82.8	71.7	163.8	62.1	525	0.20%
5N-8	58.3	5362	18.6	46.0	37.2	83.2	34.5	300	0.64%
5N-9	188.9	16205	49.2	121.6	96.6	227.4	91.2	600	0.22%
SOUTHERN CATCHMENT									
5W-1	16.1	1042	2.3	9.2	13.6	24.9	6.9	225	0.38%
5W-2	30.3	1665	3.0	13.0	22.6	39.7	9.8	300	0.30%
5W-3	31.8	1784	3.1	13.7	23.6	41.7	10.3	300	0.30%
5W-4	67.8	4747	13.4	39.4	43.5	87.3	29.6	450	0.20%
5W-5	71.8	4974	13.7	40.7	45.6	91.3	30.6	450	0.20%
5W-6	86.7	5852	16.7	47.8	53.1	105.9	35.8	450	0.20%
5W-7	87.5	5888	16.8	48.0	53.5	106.6	36.0	450	0.20%
5W-8	103.7	7173	21.2	58.0	61.4	124.5	43.5	525	0.20%
5W-9	136.5	10140	31.5	80.3	76.1	161.5	60.2	525	0.20%
5W-10	137.6	10223	31.6	80.7	76.6	162.7	60.6	525	0.20%
5W-11	139.8	10381	32.2	81.9	77.6	164.9	61.4	525	0.20%
5W-12	158.2	11937	37.3	93.1	85.9	184.7	69.8	525	0.20%
5W-14	63.3	4823	16.7	41.8	39.6	81.4	31.4	300	0.84%
5W-15	221.5	16760	54.0	127.3	111.8	244.8	95.5	375	RISING MAIN
5W-16	50.0	3720	12.9	33.1	32.4	65.5	24.8	300	0.84%
5W-17	10.8	606	2.1	6.5	9.8	16.3	4.8	150	9.50%
5W-18	232.3	17366	56.1	131.5	116.3	253.5	98.6	600	0.26%



CUMULATIVE SUBCATCHMENT DATA - OPTION G2+M4									
SEWER NODE	CUMULATIVE NET	CUMULATIVE EQUIVALENT	<b>ADWF</b> <sub>T</sub>	PDWF <sub>T</sub>	PII	PWWF	Qdmp	PIPE SIZE	MIN GRADE
	SEWERED AREA	POPULATION TOTAL						IMMEDIATELY	IMMEDIATELY
								UPSTREAM	UPSTREAM
	(ha)	(EP)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)
NORTHERN CATCHMENT									
5N-1	12.84	840	1.0	5.8	11.3	20.0	4.3	225	0.46%
5N-2	43.5	2955	3.4	17.9	29.2	56.2	13.5	375	0.26%
5N-3	63.0	5195	10.9	38.6	41.0	88.9	28.9	450	0.20%
5N-4	79.7	8563	22.6	65.6	49.6	124.5	49.2	525	0.20%
5N-5	108.1	11693	33.5	89.1	63.5	161.9	66.8	525	0.20%
5N-6	118.3	12543	36.5	95.3	68.3	172.9	71.5	525	0.20%
5N-8	58.3	5362	18.6	46.0	37.2	83.2	34.5	300	0.64%
5N-9	176.6	17905	55.1	133.5	93.4	236.2	100.1	600	0.22%
SOUTHERN CATCHMENT									
5W-1	16.1	1042	2.3	9.2	13.6	24.9	6.9	225	0.38%
5W-2	30.3	1665	3.0	13.0	22.6	39.7	9.8	300	0.30%
5W-3	31.8	1784	3.1	13.7	23.6	41.7	10.3	300	0.30%
5W-4	67.8	4747	13.4	39.4	43.5	87.3	29.6	450	0.20%
5W-5	71.8	4974	13.7	40.7	45.6	91.3	30.6	450	0.20%
5W-6	86.7	5852	16.7	47.8	53.1	105.9	35.8	450	0.20%
5W-7	87.5	5888	16.8	48.0	53.5	106.6	36.0	450	0.20%
5W-8	103.7	7173	21.2	58.0	61.4	124.5	43.5	525	0.20%
5W-9	136.5	10140	31.5	80.3	76.1	161.5	60.2	525	0.20%
5W-10	137.6	10223	31.6	80.7	76.6	162.7	60.6	525	0.20%
5W-11	139.8	10381	32.2	81.9	77.6	164.9	61.4	525	0.20%
5W-12	158.2	11937	37.3	93.1	85.9	184.7	69.8	525	0.20%
5W-14	63.3	4823	16.7	41.8	39.6	81.4	31.4	300	0.84%
5W-15	221.5	16760	54.0	127.3	111.8	244.8	95.5	375	RISING MAIN
5W-16	50.0	3720	12.9	33.1	32.4	65.5	24.8	300	0.84%
5W-17	10.8	606	2.1	6.5	9.8	16.3	4.8	150	9.50%
5W-18	221.5	16760	54.0	127.3	111.8	244.8	95.5	600	0.24%



CUMULATIVE SUBCATCHMENT DATA - OPTION G2 & M5									
SEWER NODE	CUMULATIVE NET SEWERED AREA	CUMULATIVE EQUIVALENT POPULATION TOTAL	ADWF <sub>T</sub>	PDWF <sub>T</sub>	PII	PWWF	Qdmp	PIPE SIZE IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM	MIN GRADE IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM
	(ha)	(EP)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)
NORTHERN CATCHMENT									
5N-1	12.84	840	1.0	5.8	11.3	20.0	4.3	225	0.46%
5N-2	43.5	2955	3.4	17.9	29.2	56.2	13.5	375	0.26%
5N-3	63.0	5195	10.9	38.6	42.5	90.4	28.9	450	0.20%
5N-4	92.0	6863	16.7	52.3	53.3	114.8	39.2	525	0.20%
5N-5	120.4	9993	27.6	76.5	67.0	152.7	57.3	525	0.20%
5N-6	130.5	10843	30.6	82.8	71.7	163.8	62.1	525	0.20%
5N-8	290.6	20927	72.7	156.6	138.8	295.5	117.5	600	0.30%
5N-9	421.1	31770	103.2	226.7	186.8	422.7	170.0	675	0.60%
SOUTHERN CATCHMENT									
5W-1	16.1	1042	2.3	9.2	13.6	24.9	6.9	225	0.38%
5W-2	30.3	1665	3.0	13.0	22.6	39.7	9.8	300	0.30%
5W-3	31.8	1784	3.1	13.7	23.6	41.7	10.3	300	0.30%
5W-4	67.8	4747	13.4	39.4	43.5	87.3	29.6	450	0.20%
5W-5	71.8	4974	13.7	40.7	45.6	91.3	30.6	450	0.20%
5W-6	86.7	5852	16.7	47.8	53.1	105.9	35.8	450	0.20%
5W-7	87.5	5888	16.8	48.0	53.5	106.6	36.0		0.20%
5W-8	103.7	7173	21.2	58.0	61.4	124.5	43.5	525	0.20%
5W-9	136.5	10140	31.5	80.3	76.1	161.5	60.2	525	0.20%
5W-10	137.6	10223	31.6	80.7	76.6	162.7	60.6		0.20%
5W-11	139.8	10381	32.2	81.9	77.6	164.9	61.4	525	0.20%
5W-12	158.2	11937	37.3	93.1	85.9	184.7	69.8	525	0.20%
5W-14	63.3	4823	16.7	41.8	39.6	81.4	31.4	300	0.84%
5W-15 (SPS)	232.3	17366	56.1	131.5	116.3	253.5	98.6	600	0.26%





Smart Consulting

# APPENDIX D SEWER AND WATER CONCEPT PLAN





DOCUMENT NO	PAGE:OF:
PREPARED:	CHECKED:
DATE:	



#### DRAWING SCHEDULE

C13080-MP000+ COVER SHEET,LOCALITY PLAN AND DRAWING SCHEDULE C13080-MP001+ EXISTING SERVICES PLAN

C13080-MP010+	SEWER CONCEPT PLAN - G1 & M2
C13080-MP011+	GINNINDERRA GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN - SHEET 1 OF2
C13080-MP012+	GINNINDERRA GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN – SHEET 2
C13080-MP013+	MURRUMBIDGEE GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN - SHEET 1 OF2
C13080-MP014+	MURRUMBIDGEE GRAVITY SEWER DETAIL PLAN - SHEET 2
C13080-MP015	SEWER DATA TABLES
C13080-MP016+	SEWER CONCEPT PLAN OVERLAYED WITH STRUCTURE PLAN
C13080-MP020+	WATER CONCEPT PLAN RESERVOIR DETAILS
C13080-MP021+	WATER CONCEPT PLAN – TRUNK WATER MAIN
C13080-MP022+	WATER CONCEPT PLAN PRV DETAILS

# WEST BELCONNEN SEWER AND WATER CONCEPT PLAN



# JOB No: C13080

DRAWING: C13080-CP000+ REVISION:





## <u>LEGEND</u>

——————————————————————————————————————	EXISTING	ELECTRICITY
G	EXISTING	GAS
I C ON	EXISTING	ICON
OPT <del>US</del>	EXISTING	OPTUS
s	EXISTING	SEWER
SW	EXISTING	STORMWATER
T	EXISTING	TELSTRA
TR	EXISTING	TRANSACT
w	EXISTING	WATER
	STUDY A	REA

## EXISTING SERVICES PLAN

C13080-CP001+

ING TITLE



<u>LEGEND</u>	
	RIVER CORRIDOR
	STUDY AREA
EXISTING SERVICES	
	WATER
SS	
OF	OPTIC FIBRE
— е — _ ^ _ е — _ ^ _	OVERHEAD ELECTRICITY
	GINNINDERRA SEWER TUNNEL (GST)
624	CONTOUR
PROPOSED SERVICES	
0-►	PROPOSED SEWER PUMP STATIONS AND RISING MAIN
	MICROTUNNEL SEWER SHAFT
● 5N-1	SEWER NODES REFER C13080-CP015 FOR DATA
ss	GRAVITY TRENCHED SEWER MAINS
	CATCHMENT - GINNINDERRA GRAVITY MAIN
	CATCHMENT - MURRUMBIDGEE GRAVITY MAIN
	MAIN DIVIDING CATCHMENT BOUNDARY BETWEEN GINNINDERRA RIVER CATCHMENT AND MURRUMBIDGEE RIVER CATCHMENT
ss	MICROTUNNEL SEWER
	UNSERVICED AREA
	CATCHMENT - PUMPED AREA
NOTE	

NOTE CATCHMENTS BASED ON ROBERTS DAY LAND BUDGET PLAN RIV-BEL RD1 012 DATED 31 MARCH 2014

SEWER CONCEPT PLAN OPTION G1 AND M2

C13080-CP010+








		CUMULATIVE	SUBCATC	HMENT DA	TA - GRAV	VITY SEWE	R OPTION	G1 & M2	
SEWER NODE	CUMULATIVE NET SEWERED AREA	CUMULATIVE EQUIVALENT POPULATION TOTAL	ADWF <sub>T</sub>	PDWF <sub>T</sub>	PII	PWWF	Qdmp	PIPE SIZE IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM	MIN GRADE IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM
	(ha)	(EP)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)
NORTHERN CATCHMENT									
5N-1	12.84	840	2.0	7.8	11.3	20.6	5.9	300	0.36%
5N-2	43.5	2904	8.6	25.7	29.2	57.2	19.3	375	0.25%
5N-3	63.0	5144	16.4	43.8	42.5	88.6	32.9	450	0.25%
5N-4	92.0	6733	21.9	56.1	53.3	111.7	42.1	525	0.25%
5N-5	120.4	9616	31.9	77.6	67.0	146.9	58.2	525	0.25%
5N-6	130.5	10466	34.9	83.9	71.7	157.8	62.9	525	0.25%
5N-7	18.1	2219	7.7	20.8	14.9	35.7	15.6	225	0.62%
5N-8	58.3	5182	18.0	44.6	37.2	81.8	33.4	300	0.68%
5N-9	188.9	15648	52.8	120.7	96.6	219.5	90.5	525	0.60%
SOUTHERN CATCHMENT									
5W-1	16.1	881	2.0	8.1	13.6	23.3	6.0	225	0.38%
5W-2	30.3	1504	2.7	12.0	22.6	38.2	9.0	300	0.30%
5W-3	31.8	1591	2.8	12.5	23.6	39.9	9.4	300	0.30%
5W-4	67.8	4258	12.1	35.8	43.5	83.2	26.9	450	0.20%
5W-5	71.8	4432	12.3	36.8	45.6	86.8	27.6	450	0.20%
5W-6	86.7	5213	15.0	43.1	53.1	100.6	32.4	450	0.20%
5W-7	87.5	5249	15.1	43.3	53.5	101.3	32.5	450	0.20%
5W-8	103.7	6311	18.7	51.7	61.4	117.6	38.8	525	0.20%
5W-9	136.5	8812	27.4	70.8	76.1	151.4	53.1	525	0.20%
5W-10	137.6	8870	27.5	71.1	76.6	152.4	53.3	525	0.20%
5W-11	139.8	8980	27.9	71.9	77.6	154.2	53.9	525	0.20%
5W-12	158.2	10095	31.5	80.0	85.9	170.9	60.0	525	0.20%
5W-13	6.5	488	1.7	5.3	6.5	11.8	4.0	225	0.38%
5W-14	13.3	954	3.3	9.7	11.6	21.3	7.3	225	0.38%
5W-15	171.5	11049	34.8	87.0	91.7	183.7	65.3	525	0.20%
5W-16	50.0	3258	11.3	29.4	32.4	61.8	22.0	375	0.28%
5W-17	10.8	420	1.5	4.6	9.8	14.4	3.5	150	9.50%
5W-18	232.3	14727	47.6	113.4	116.3	234.6	85.0	600	0.22%

### **NOTES**

1 SEWER AREAS EXCLUDE ARTERIAL ROADS, MAJOR FLOODWAYS, PONDS AND PARKLAND.

TEP = REP + 0.67 IEP orTEP = 0.36 REP + IEPUse whichever value of "TEP" is higher.  $ADWF_G = TEP/288$  $ADWF_T = ADWF_G + 1/3 TPF$  $PDWF_G = 5.83 ADWF_G / TEP^{0.1}$  $PDWF_T = PDWF_G + 2/3 TPF$  $PII = 1.43 [RNSA + 0.6(INSA + CNSA)]^{0.81}$  $PWWF = PDWF_G + PII + TPF$  $Qdmp = 0.75 PDWF_{T}$ 

- 2 DESIGN FLOWS WERE CALCULATED BASED ON THE FORMULA SHOWN IN SECTION 3.1.1(iii) c. OF ACTEW WATER SUPPLY AND SEWERAGE MANUAL, RELEASE 2, AMENDMENT 4 DEC 2008.
- 3 SEWER FLOW CALCULATION WAS UNDETAKEN BASED ON WEST BELCONNEN LAND BUDGET AND STAGING TABLE 260314 PROVIDE BY ROBERTS DAY.

C13000\C13080\dra Structure Plan 20	A A	. N	AWN CHECK APPROVED DATE NN JL T 09/05/14	AMENDMENT DETAILS	VAE No.	AS PLOT SCALE (METRES) 1.10000 0 100 20		RIVERVIEW	
FILE: H:\C13 Xref's: Str					ROJECT No.	CONSULT AUSTRALIA	Quality Endorsed Company ISO \$001 Lic 4084	WEST BELCONNEN DEVELOPMENT	C



SEWER DATA TABLE

www.brownconsulti

C13080-CP015



REFER TO DRAWING C13080-CP010 FOR LEGEND

SEWER CONCEPT PLAN OVERLAYED WITH STRUCTURE PLAN

C 2013

www.brownconsulti

C13080-CP016+





CR



ZONES	LEVEL
ZUNES	
ULTRA LOW ZONE	RL530 AND BELOW
EXTRA LOW ZONE	RL 530-565
LOW ZONE	RL 560-600
INTERMEDIATE ZONE	RL 610-625

C13080-CP020+



www.brownconsulting.

WATER CONCEPT PLAN RESERVOIR OPTION - SHEET 1 OF 2

C 2013



WATER CONCEPT PLAN RESERVOIR OPTION - SHEET 2





Smart Consulting

APPENDIX E COST ESTIMATES

West Belconnen - Ginni	nderra Cr	eek Gravit	y Sev	ver Cost Est	ima	tes
Option G1						
Northern Catchment - GCS	6.5km					
	Unit	Qty	Rate		Amc	ount
Preliminaries				10%	\$	824,202.00
Open Trench						
300mm sewer pipe	m	2000	\$	307.00	\$	614,000.00
375mm sewer pipe	m	1655	\$	350.00	\$	579,250.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	440	\$	543.00	\$	238,920.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	1350	\$	611.00	\$	824,850.00
Microtunnel						
Shaft well, <11m deep	No.	2	\$	500,000.00	\$	1,000,000.00
Shaft well, 11-15m deep	No.	1	\$	800,000.00	\$	800,000.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	1000	\$	450.00	\$	450,000.00
Sewer pipe construction	m	1000	\$	3,520.00	\$	3,520,000.00
Connection to existing votex						
drop structure	No.	1	\$	215,000.00	\$	215,000.00
Subtotal					\$	9,066,222.00
Contingency				40%	\$	3,626,488.80
GCS TOTAL					\$	12,692,710.80

Option G2						
Northern Catchment - GCS	6.0km					
	Unit	Qty	Rate	5	Amo	unt
Preliminaries				10%	\$	560,157.50
Pump Station						
Pump Station, 20 L/s @ 9kW	No.	1	\$	1,000,000.00	\$	1,000,000.00
150mm rising main	m	820	\$	120.00	\$	98,400.00
Pump Station, 55.7 L/s @ 23kW	No.	1	\$	1,500,000.00	\$	1,500,000.00
225mm rising main	m	750	\$	200.00	\$	150,000.00
Open Trench						
375mm sewer pipe	m	1084	\$	350.00	\$	379,400.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	950	\$	543.00	\$	515,850.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	1475	\$	611.00	\$	901,225.00
525mm sewer pipe, 4.5-6.0m	m	550	\$	850.00	\$	467,500.00
600mm sewer pipe, >6m deep	m	420	\$	1,160.00	\$	487,200.00
Upgrade DN450 to DN600 and						
Connection to existing access						
manhole	No.	1	\$	102,000.00	\$	102,000.00
Subtotal					\$	6,161,732.50
Contingency				40%	\$	2,464,693.00
GCS TOTAL					\$	8,626,425.50

West Belconnen - Murrur	nbidgee	<b>River Gra</b>	vity S	Sewer Cost	Esti	mates
Option M1						
Southern Catchment - MRS	12.2km					
	Unit	Qty	Rate		Amo	ount
Preliminaries				10%	\$	691,228.00
Open Trench						
225mm sewer pipe	m	715	\$	295.00	\$	210,925.00
300mm sewer pipe	m	2068	\$	307.00	\$	634,876.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	3150	\$	543.00	\$	1,710,450.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	3024	\$	611.00	\$	1,847,664.00
600mm sewer pipe	m	3253	\$	705.00	\$	2,293,365.00
Connection to existing overflow						
structure	No.	1	\$	215,000.00	\$	215,000.00
Subtotal					\$	7,603,508.00
Contingency				40%	\$	3,041,403.20
MRS TOTAL					\$	10,644,911.20

West Belconnen - Murru	nbidgee	e River Gra	vity	Sewer Cost	Esti	mates
Option M2						
Courthouse Controlment AADC	0.11					
Southern Catchment - MRS	9.1km					
	Unit	Qty	Rate	9	Amo	ount
Preliminaries				10%	\$	2,264,340.60
North of Node 5W-15						
Open Trench					-	. ==
225mm sewer pipe	m	600		295.00	\$	177,000.00
300mm sewer pipe	m	1920		307.00	\$	589,440.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	1871	•	543.00	\$	1,015,953.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	2208	\$	611.00	\$	1,349,088.00
Boring						
300mm sewer pipe	m	90	\$	1,000.00	\$	90,000.00
• • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Microtunnel			~	500.000.00	<u> </u>	2 500 000 00
Shaft well, <11m deep	No.	7		500,000.00	\$	3,500,000.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	200		430.00	\$	86,000.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	500		450.00	\$	225,000.00
Sewer pipe construction	m	700	\$	3,520.00	\$	2,464,000.00
South of Node 5W-15						
Open Trench						
600mm sewer pipe	m	155	\$	705.00	\$	109,275.00
Microtunnel						
Shaft well, <3m deep	No.	1	\$	150,000.00	\$	150,000.00
Shaft well, <11m deep		2			\$	1,000,000.00
	No.	1	-	500,000.00	\$ \$	
Shaft well, 11-15m deep	No.			800,000.00	ې \$	800,000.00
Shaft well, 15-20m deep	No.	1		1,500,000.00	> \$	1,500,000.00
Shaft well, >20m deep	No.		•	1,500,000.00		3,000,000.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	1190		450.00	\$	535,500.00
600mm sewer pipe	m	405		550.00	\$	222,750.00
Sewer pipe construction	m	1595	\$	3,520.00	\$	5,614,400.00
Connection to existing overflow						
structure	No.	1	\$	215,000.00	\$	215,000.00
Subtotal			-		\$	24,907,746.60
Contingency				40%	\$	9,963,098.64
MRS TOTAL			·		\$	34,870,845.24

West Belconnen -Murrumb	oidgee Riv	er Pump S	ewe	er Cost Estim	ate	s
Option M3						
Southern Catchment - MRS	10.9km					
Southern Catchinent - Miks	Unit	Qty	Rate		Δm	ount
Preliminaries	Unit	Qty	Nate	10%	\$	1,913,535.60
				1076	Ļ	1,913,939.00
North of Node 5W-15						
Open Trench						
225mm sewer pipe	m	600	\$	295.00	\$	177,000.00
300mm sewer pipe	m	1920	\$	307.00	\$	589,440.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	1871	\$	543.00	\$	1,015,953.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	2208	\$	611.00	\$	1,349,088.00
Boring						
300mm sewer pipe	m	90	\$	1,000.00	\$	90,000.00
Microtunnel						
Shaft well, <11m deep	No.	7	\$	500,000.00	\$	3,500,000.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	200	\$	430.00	\$	86,000.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	500	\$	450.00	\$	225,000.00
Sewer pipe construction	m	700	\$	3,520.00	\$	2,464,000.00
South of Node 5W-15						
Pump Station						
Pump Station, 227 L/s	No.	1	\$	5,000,000.00	\$	5,000,000.00
375mm rising main	m	2440	\$	350.00	\$	854,000.00
Open Trench						
600mm sewer pipe	m	155	\$	705.00	\$	109,275.00
Microtunnel						
Shaft well, <3m deep	No.	2	\$	150,000.00	\$	300,000.00
Shaft well, 11-15m deep	No.	1		800,000.00	\$	800,000.00
600mm sewer pipe	m	580	\$	550.00	\$	319,000.00
Sewer pipe construction	m	580		3,520.00	, \$	2,041,600.00
Connection to existing overflow			-		•	
structure	No.	1	\$	215,000.00	\$	215,000.00
Cubtotol					ć	21 040 001 00
Subtotal				400/	\$	21,048,891.60
Contingency				40%	\$	8,419,556.64
MRS TOTAL					Ş	29,468,448.24

West Belconnen -Murrumbid	lgee Rive	r Pump Sev	wer	Cost Estimat	tes	
Option M4						
•						
Southern Catchment - MRS	9.2km					
	Unit	Qty	Rat	e	Am	ount
Preliminaries				10%	\$	1,723,913.10
North of Node 5W-15						
Open Trench						
225mm sewer pipe	m	600	\$	295.00	\$	177,000.00
300mm sewer pipe	m	1920	\$	307.00	\$	589,440.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	1871	\$	543.00	\$	1,015,953.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	2208	\$	611.00	\$	1,349,088.00
Boring						
300mm sewer pipe	m	90	\$	1,000.00	\$	90,000.00
Microtunnel						
Shaft well, <11m deep	No.	7	\$	500,000.00	\$	3,500,000.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	200	\$	430.00	\$	86,000.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	500	\$	450.00	\$	225,000.00
Sewer pipe construction	m	700	\$	3,520.00	\$	2,464,000.00
South of Node 5W-15						
Pump Station						
Pump Station, 227 L/s	No.	1		5,000,000.00	\$	5,000,000.00
375mm rising main	m	400	\$	350.00	\$	140,000.00
Open Trench						
600mm sewer pipe	m	990	\$	705.00	\$	697 <i>,</i> 950.00
600mm sewer pipe, 3.0-4.5m deep	m	220	\$	785.00	\$	172,700.00
600mm sewer pipe, >6m deep	m	200	\$	1,160.00	\$	232,000.00
Construct new 19m deep structure	No.	1	\$	1,500,000.00	\$	1,500,000.00
Subtotal					\$	18,963,044.10
Contingency				40%	\$	7,585,217.64
MRS TOTAL					\$	26,548,261.74

West Belconnen -Murrumbi	dgee Riv	er Pump S	ewe	er Cost Estim	ate	S
Option M5						
Southern Catchment - MRS	11.1km	-	-			
	Unit	Qty	Rate		Amo	
Preliminaries				10%	\$	1,651,460.60
North of Node 5W-15						
Open Trench						
225mm sewer pipe	m	600	\$	295.00	\$	177,000.00
300mm sewer pipe	m	1920	\$	307.00	\$	589,440.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	1871	\$	543.00	\$	1,015,953.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	2208	\$	611.00	\$	1,349,088.00
Boring						
300mm sewer pipe	m	90	\$	1,000.00	\$	90,000.00
Microtunnel						
Shaft well, <11m deep	No.	7	\$	500,000.00	\$	3,500,000.00
450mm sewer pipe	m	200	\$	430.00	\$	86,000.00
525mm sewer pipe	m	500	\$	450.00	\$	225,000.00
Sewer pipe construction	m	700	\$	3,520.00	\$	2,464,000.00
South of Node 5W-15						
Pump Station						
Pump Station, 234.6 L/s	No.	1	\$	5,000,000.00	\$	5,000,000.00
375mm rising main	m	1110	\$	350.00	\$	388,500.00
Open Trench						
600mm sewer pipe	m	2265	\$	705.00	\$	1,596,825.00
Extra Over to MRS Sewer Upgrade						
525mm to 675mm sewer pipe	m	328	\$	100.00	\$	32,800.00
Subtotal					\$	18,166,066.60
Contingency				40%	\$	7,266,426.64
MRS TOTAL					\$	25,432,493.24



Smart Consulting

## APPENDIX F SERVICE AUTHORITIES ADVICE

Sewer and Water Concept Plan Report – West Belconnen | Riverview



ActewAGL House Level 5, 40 Bunda Street Canberra ACT 2600 GPO Box 366 Canberra ACT 2601 Tel. 13 14 93 Fax. (02) 6249 7237

13 March 2014

Mr David Maxwell The Riverview Group PO Box 3908 Manuka, ACT 2603 Suburb ACT 2000

Dear David

Re: West Belconnen Water Supply - Technical Feasibility

ACTEW Water is currently working with the Economic Development Directorate to establish the Water Supply Master Plan for the Riverview Estate. This includes both the ACT and NSW supply areas as identified.

From our initial investigations, based on information provided to us from the EDD, we believe that supply of potable water to the entire planned developable area is technically feasible to meet the Actew Water's standards.

It is currently proposed to provide water to the estate primarily from Higgins Reservoir. Preliminary modeling has indicated that the bulk water supply system has adequate capacity to meet both the estimated demands. The provision of water may require modifications to our current Higgins/Spence zone network and construction of either a new reservoir or valve farm and this will be further investigated as the overall development planning continues. Options will also need to be further investigated for supply to the high elevation areas along Stockdill Road.

As noted in your letter, special administrative provisions (outside ACTEW's jurisdiction) will be required to enable ACT water to be provided across the NSW border. ACTEW would not be in the position to provide water to the area of the development within NSW until these provisions have been resolved.

ACTEW Water a business name owned by ACTEW Corporation Limited ABN 86 069 381 960.



Actew Water looks forward to working actively with you in planning this substantial development. If you have any queries relating to the provision of water supply please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

Andrew Grant Manager Water Strategic Planning ACTEW Water

#### Fran Liao

From:	Elliott, Tim <tim.elliott@actew.com.au></tim.elliott@actew.com.au>
Sent:	Friday, 17 January 2014 4:29 PM
To:	Fran Liao
Subject:	West Belconnen
Categories:	C13080

EMAIL LOCATED at: H:\C13000\C13080\Email\022\_Actew Water\IN-2014-01-17\_4-28-57\_ELLIOTT, TIM\_West Belconnen.msg SAVED BY: Fran Liao

Hi Fran,

I've looked at the Capacity of the West Belconnen 450mm section of sewer.

As previously advised, approximately 50L/s PWWF was allowed for the development of the Parkwood area in the design of the West Belconnen Trunk Sewer.

However, because this 450mm section is at the end of the sewer and is located downstream (and also upstream) from a drop structure, it is likely that more flow (potentially a few hundred litres per second) would be able to be accommodated hydraulically in this section.

Although I would caution that I haven't completed any detailed dynamic modeling on this section and would have to analyse any proposal carefully before it would be accepted.

As previously advised, the Ginninderra Trunk Sewer is well below capacity and has room for the entire development area to be serviced.

Hope this helps,

Regards,

#### Tim Elliott

Senior Engineer Sewerage Network Planning ACTEW Water

2 02 6242 1460

GPO Box 366 Canberra ACT 2601

<u>www.actew.com.au</u>

\*PLEASE NOTE\* This email and any attachments may be confidential. If received in error, please delete all copies and advise the sender. The reproduction or dissemination of this email or its attachments is prohibited without the consent of the sender.

WARNING RE VIRUSES: Our computer systems sweep outgoing email to guard against viruses, but no warranty is given that this email or its attachments are virus free. Before opening or using attachments, please check for viruses. Our liability is limited to the re-supply of any affected attachments.

Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender expressly, and with authority, states them to be the views of the organisation.



ActewAGL House Level 5, 40 Bunda Street Canberra ACT 2600 GPO Box 366 Canberra ACT 2601 Tel. 13 14 93 Fax. (02) 6249 7237

2 April 2014 Mr David Maxwell Director, The Riverview Group P O Box 3908, Manuka ACT 2603

#### Dear David

Thank you for your letter dated 3 March 2014 seeking confirmation of a number of matters relating to the feasibility of providing sewerage services to the proposed West Belconnen development. Notwithstanding this, we can confirm on current data, at the nominated points of connection, there is sufficient capacity to receive sewage from the proposed development.

It is technically feasible to accept sewage from the ACT component of the development. Subject to more detailed master planning and cost benefit analysis, adjustments may be required to optimise the development edge for sewerage servicing. Aside from the legal and logistical issues of cross border sewerage connections, it is technically feasible to similarly accept sewage from the NSW section of the development, subject to the similar master planning and optimisation rigour.

Standard, low and medium density, residential development can take place over the *Ginninderra Sewer Tunnel* (GST) where the cover is in excess of 12m and the geological strata above the GST has not been excavated previously. It is acknowledged that the final detail of the sewerage network in the West Belconnen development is subject to some significant ongoing studies and discussions.

Of specific concern to ACTEW is potential impact of odour emissions from the trunk mains and LMWQCC on higher density development areas. The plant has been designed with expectation of rural surroundings and has minimal odour control capacity. Due to the large investment in the LMWQCC plant by the community, the potential conflict must be discounted before ACTEW could support a variation to the current land use. If industry experts find that impact is likely, then clearances or mitigation investment will need to be agreed on by the ACT Government to protect future residents of these areas. Initial assessments of these issues are underway. Should these find potential for impact much more detailed studies would be required.

We remain available to discuss this or any other aspect of the development.

Yours sincerely

Simon Webber Group Manager, Sewerage

ACTEW Water a business name owned by ACTEW Corporation Limited ABN 86 069 381 960

actew.com.au



Smart Consulting

# APPENDIX G WILL OSBORNE'S PTWL HABITAT FIELD INSPECTION RESULT



Potential impact of the proposed (indicative) alignment of the West Belconnen to Lower Molonglo Water Quality Control Centre Trunk Sewer on Pink-tailed Worm Lizard habitat – result of field inspection

Dr Will Osborne Institute for Applied Ecology University of Canberra



On 7 December 2013 at the request of the Riverview Group I inspected the indicative alignment for the West Belconnen to LMWQCC proposed trunk sewer. The purpose of this visit was to undertake a brief visual assessment of the potential impact that construction of a sewer would have on Pink-tailed Worm-lizard (*Aprasia parapulchella*) (PTWL) habitat along the alignment. The pink-tailed worm lizard is a nationally vulnerable species (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999). The species is also listed as being vulnerable in the ACT (ACT Nature Conservation Act) where it also has special protection status (SPSS).

Osborne and Wong (2013) undertook detailed mapping of the distribution of Pink-tailed Worm lizard habitat in this area for the Riverview Group. The survey was based on aerial photograph interpretation, GIS mapping and field confirmation of the boundaries of potential habitat. Confirmatory searching beneath stones was also used to map the broad occurrence of the species within the mapped habitat. Almost all of the rocky landscape in this area is occupied by Pink-tailed Worm-lizards.

#### Specific comments on the route

I found that the mapping accurately delineates the extent of habitat in this area. The density of rock, however, various considerable within and between patches. Approximately 2.2 km of the proposed sewer route passes through pink-tailed worm-lizard habitat – this is a very extensive interface. Specific comments relate to the attached map (Figure 1).

#### Location 1.

At location 1 the proposed route passes through an area dominated by large rock tors, rock outcrops and scattered boulders. Pink-tailed Worm-lizards have been found at this location, although their density is likely to be low because of the very large size of much of the surface rock. Construction directly through these rock outcrops would cause considerable environmental damage. It should be possible to delineate a route through or near the rocky landscape that does not impact on habitat. For example, the proposed corridor boundary at this location passes through a grassy opening between low rocky knolls. It is likely that the route will always be within 20 m of potential habitat (ie the buffer zone width surrounding habitat). If possible the route should be re-routed greater than 20 m away from potential habitat.

#### Location 2.

At this location the route passes through an area that comprises a series of discrete clusters of large rocky boulders. PTWL density at the site is likely to be very low (because most rocks are very large), and no specimens were found at this location. It should be possible for the route to be maintained here but carefully delineated in such a way that the rocky areas are avoided.

#### Location 3.

Location 3 comprises a broad ridgeline that is characterised by many small rock outcrops, large boulders and rock tors. PTWL habitat is scattered through these rocky areas and specimens were found on site. Habitat is patchily distributed (clumped) within this area and it might be possible to route the proposed sewer line through the rocky area. This would require further detail confirmation in the field and consideration of the construction footprint required. If possible the route should be moved to the east away from the rocky low ridge.

#### Location 4.

This is very steeply dissected topography. At location 4 the proposed route passes through at least 600 m of habitat. Habitat quality varies across the site but overall is good to excellent.

The slopes in this area are reasonably steep. Construction of the proposed trunk sewer through this section would lead to considerable damage to pink-tailed worm-lizard habitat. I recommend that the route be moved to the east away from the steep rocky slopes. This may be possible if it is feasible to establish a deeper sewer across the small plateau that juts out between locations 3 and 5.

#### Location 5.

A short section of high quality habitat on a very steep slope. The proposed route abuts the lower edge of habitat.

#### Location 6.

This is very steeply dissected topography (Plate1). Approximately 800 m of high quality habitat on very steep slopes. The proposed sewer route bisects this entire strip of habitat and would lead to considerable damage. Because of the steep slopes rehabilitation could be difficult. I recommend that the proposed trunk sewer not be established through this area. It may be possible for the route to occur further down slope to the west where there is little or no habitat. This however would result in a considerable drop in elevation.



Figure 1. Locations where Pink-tailed Worm-lizard habitat occurs on the draft route of the trunk sewer (indicated with black hatching).

#### Buffer zones

In order to satisfy government prescriptions the proposed alignment will need to include a 20 metre buffer between the edge of any nearby pink-tailed worm lizard and the outer edge of the sewer line construction zone. At some locations it may be necessary to rehabilitate buffer zones following construction.



Plate 1. Locations 5 and 6 lie in the centre of the field of view.

Dr Will Osborne 12 December 2013